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The Need For and Role of Financing to Promote Efficiency Investments
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Definitions

Money used for energy efficiency (or renewables) is an investment, not an expense

- **Spend** *to use up or pay out*
- **Invest** *to commit money in order to gain a financial return; to devote for future advantage or benefit*
Energy investments differ from traditional investments

- Return on investment (ROI) is money that is NOT spent on future energy bills. To determine the ROI, compare the actual energy cost with what it would have been; the difference is the ROI.

- Traditional investments generally have some end value of the original investment (sale or maturity of an asset). In an energy investment, the initial investment is spent and ROI comes from future energy savings – *unless* the energy improvements add to resale value.
Differentiating “Funding” from “Financing”

**Funding**
- Public Investment through grants or rebates from government, energy suppliers or third parties, including grants, interest rate subsidies, tax credits, etc.
- Building Owner’s share of investment in energy efficiency measures

**Financing**
- Financial mechanisms to spread the cost of measures over time, including consumer loans, leasing, mortgages, performance contracts, power purchase agreements and property-secured finance
Common financing myths

• Information is sufficient – if building owners have information on costs and benefits, they will make rational investment decisions that benefit them.

• An adequately attractive financing program is sufficient.

• This can all pay for itself out of savings – we just need to get it started and/or remove a few market barriers with bright new ideas and access to capital.
MORE common financing myths!

• Most comprehensive energy improvements have short “paybacks.”

• Revolving loan programs need to be capitalized only once, up front, and can then keep on making loans indefinitely.

• ESCOs can do it all – if there’s money to be made, the market will step up and provide a service.
So you want to understand how CEOs and CFOs think?

*Newsweek*, November 9, 2009:

“80 percent of CEOs and CFOs said they would not spend money to make their factories more efficient and save money in the long run if it hurt their next-quarter bottom line.”

"That," says Al Gore, "is functionally insane."
Conventional Financing Options

- Consumer loans from banks and credit unions
- Home equity loans
- Mortgage financing or refinancing
- Leasing
- Energy Performance Contracting
- Business loans
Experience to date with conventional financing

• Participation in energy finance programs has been less than 0.5% per year

• Energy financing programs mostly serve those who least need them

• Conventional financing programs have not resulted in comprehensive or ‘deep’ treatment of opportunities
There are many barriers to be overcome

- Consumer limited investment horizon
- Consumer reluctance to incur debt
- Poor availability of suitable financial products
- Financing term matched to life of savings
- Security of repayment / loan qualification / credit
- Hassle factor
Key Issue #1: Assurance of Repayment

A. Secured by credit of the borrower
   • Great for those who meet loan underwriting requirements, but most building owners do not have adequate credit to take on significant new debt
   • In US, 50% of population does not meet “good” credit criteria (FICO credit score of 680 or better)

B. Secured by cash flow of utility payment stream
   • Uncommon and raises issues around disconnection

C. Secured by property
   • Secured by lien on the property
   • Uncommon, but now being widely pursued in the US
Key Issue #2: Term of Repayment

While savings *can* pay for energy retrofits over time:

- Few mechanisms available for long-term financing (20-year) required to support deep retrofits
- Increased value of buildings due to retrofits is not yet established in the market
- The investment needs to be made by the current building owner, who may not continue to be the recipient of the savings over time. Most are reluctant to invest beyond a 5-year payback.
Example: Effect of term for New England home with 50% savings

### Existing Energy Use and Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50% Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Oil</strong></td>
<td>$3,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 gallons @ $3.79 =</td>
<td>$1,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity</strong></td>
<td>$1,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000 kWh @$0.14 =</td>
<td>$630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$4,671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Term (Years) vs. Annual Savings and Net Annual Cash Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term (Years)</th>
<th>Annual Savings</th>
<th>Annual Payments *</th>
<th>Net Annual Cash Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2,336</td>
<td>($4,529)</td>
<td>($2,194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$2,336</td>
<td>($2,546)</td>
<td>($210)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$2,336</td>
<td>($1,898)</td>
<td>$438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$2,336</td>
<td>($1,584)</td>
<td>$752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assumes $20,000 loan at 5.00% interest*
Align the period of payment with the period of the savings
Promising Developmental Financing Options

• Energy Performance Contracting Variant
  ▪ The Public Purpose ESCO concept

• Mortgage Financing Variants
  ▪ Energy Improvement Mortgages (EIM)
  ▪ Deep energy savings refinance
Promising Developmental Financing Options (continued)

- On-Bill Financing from Utilities
  - Short term loans with on-bill repayment
  - Tariffed Installation Program (TIP): payment obligation tied to meter
- Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE)
- Loan Loss Reserve Fund
The public-purpose ESCO (PPESCO) model seeks to perform all cost-effective measures, rather than the traditional ESCO model of limiting measures to those that achieve the greatest return on investment.

By its nature, a PPESCO will tend to make higher levels of investment for a given project than a traditional ESCO would, with associated deeper savings.
PPESCO defined

Sustainable increased investment in energy projects via PPESCO

Traditional ESCO hurdle rate

$ Investment in Energy Projects

- Traditional ESCO
- Public Purpose ESCO
The PPESCO model serves broader public purposes

- Enables deeper energy savings, allows for transparency in project pricing, longer investment timeframes and lower returns on investment.
- Aids market growth and increased use of high-efficiency approaches and products in sectors with large potential but little or no ESCO penetration
- Lowers barriers to the achievement of goals concerning energy-related economic development, and housing affordability by removing profit maximization as one necessity of upfront financing
- Has not yet been successfully implemented
How PACE works

• Voluntary mechanism - property owners opt in to a special assessment district
• Eligible energy improvements are funded by municipal bonds or other debt
• Repayment period up to 20 years
• Special assessments transfer to the new owner when the property is sold, or can be paid in full at time of transfer
Where PACE has been authorized

Source: www.dsireusa.org / July 2011

*The Federal Housing Financing Agency (FHFA) issued a statement in July 2010 concerning the senior lien status associated with most PACE programs. In response to the FHFA statement, most local PACE programs have been suspended until further clarification is provided.*
Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) issued a letter in July 2010 regarding PACE:

- instructed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to use more restrictive mortgage underwriting standards for all borrowers in jurisdictions with PACE programs
- property owners that participate in senior-lien residential PACE programs will violate standard mortgage provisions and could trigger a mortgage default.

Almost every PACE program in the US has suspended residential applications until further notice.
Latest PACE developments

**UNDERWRITING CRITERIA LIMIT (ELIMINATE) RISK TO F/F**

**PACE Legislation Requirements**

- Homeowner must have at least 15% positive equity.
- Projects capped at 10% of home value.
- Homeowner must have solid property tax payment history.
- PACE lien does not accelerate in event of default.
- Energy assessment must demonstrate projects pay for themselves.

68% of US Homes have > 15% Positive Equity

Source: McDash Analytics LLC

- More than 15% - YES PACE
- Less than 15% - NO PACE
**Characteristics of loan loss reserves (LLR’s)**

- Provides partial risk coverage to lenders by covering a pre-specified amount of loan losses
- Lender can draw on the LLR to cover losses on defaulted loans
- Program’s liability for loan losses is strictly limited to the amount of the LLR
Characteristics of loan loss reserves (continued)

- Portfolio approach
  - Size of LLR set to be higher than estimated loan losses
  - Default of a few loans will represent a small portion of the total
- Lender is responsible for all losses in excess of those covered by the LLR; ‘second losses’
Barriers to Promising Financing Options

- Energy Performance Contracting
  - Profit vs. deep savings
  - Economies of scale

- Mortgage Financing Variants
  - Skepticism about certainty of savings
  - No standard for valuing energy improvements
Barriers to Promising Financing Options (continued)

- On-Bill Financing from Utilities
  - Dual-fuel customers
  - Challenges of changing utility billing systems
  - Financing is not a core business for utilities

- Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE)
  - Lien position
  - No national standards

- Loan Loss Reserve Fund
  - First cost
Conclusions

Financing program designs most likely to achieve wide participation and deep savings should:

- Focus on long repayment terms (10-30 years)
- Secure repayment through dedicated, structural revenue streams (utility bills, tax assessments, mortgages), rather than personal credit
- Focus on broadening the pool of potential participants through loan guarantees and other credit enhancements

The most promising financing mechanisms are those with which we have the least experience!
Energy Efficiency &
On-Bill Financing
For Small Businesses

Presentation for:
RAP Webinar

07/27/2011
Connecticut Energy Efficiency Fund (CEEF)

Connecticut’s Energy Efficiency Programs are funded by a Charge on Customer’s electric bills.

The Programs are designed to help customers manage their energy usage and cost.
Objective

PROVIDE > COST-EFFECTIVE, turn-key CONSERVATION and LOAD MANAGEMENT SERVICES to SMALL C&I CUSTOMERS.

What qualifies as a SMALL BUSINESS?

A “Mom & Pop” store with a $150 monthly electric bill up to a mid size manufacturing company with a $20,000 monthly electric bill.

Examples: Retail, convenience stores, houses of worship, professional offices, non-profits, gas stations, restaurants, common areas of apartment buildings, warehouses, sport facilities.
UI Customer Base

- **325,000** Total Customers

- **30,000** C&I Customers
  (Commercial, Industrial, Municipal)

- **16,800** are Small Businesses

- Over **4,550** Small Businesses (25%) have participated
Financial Solutions

- NO OBLIGATION Energy Audit
- NO UP-FRONT COSTS
- INCENTIVES
  (up to 40% lighting & non-lighting measures)
- 0% ON-BILL FINANCING
  (to qualified customers)
Statistics  2000 - 2011

- Over 4,450 Installed Projects
  - Average project cost between $10K and $12K
  - Average Project savings 16,000 kWh
  - Average savings between 20 and 25% (up to 40% with comprehensive)

- 997m Lifetime kWh Saved =

> 591,000 TONS of AVOIDED CARBON DIOXIDE!
Statistics Continued

- $12.3m Incentives Paid by CEEF
- $33.1m in 0% Financed Loans
- Under $329,000 (<1%) in loan defaults
Loans

- Minimum loan: $250 - Maximum loan: $100,000
- Max. loan term 48 months (Average 30 month)
- Qualifications: Utility payment history; less than 60 day arrears in most recent 6 months
- 93% of customers qualify for financing
- Of those who qualify, 54% decide to participate
- Of the 7% who don’t qualify for financing only 19% decide to participate
Loans (Continued)

- 80% of participants are “tenants”
- Multiple on-bill loan capability (for multi-phase projects)
- Loans are transferrable or assumable
- Defaults are recovered by public funds (low default rate must be maintained)
- Utility allowed to earn interest on funds they supply for financing
- Partial payments are applied to loan installment first
Typical E/E MEASURES

- High-Performance Lighting, Occupancy Sensors, Photocells, Induction and LED Technology
- Refrigeration Controls; Anti-Condensation Door Heater Controls, Evaporator Fan Controls, Open Case Night Covers, Electronically Commutated Motors
- HVAC, Programmable T-Stats, A/C Replacements, Economizers
- Air Compressors
- Variable Frequency Drives
- Premium Efficiency Motors
- Gas Incentives; Cooking Equipment
Before
- Obsolete lighting
- No lighting controls
- Obsolete refrigeration
- 24/7 Refrigeration
- Poorly maintained HVAC equipment

= $3,000 monthly bill

After
- New lighting tech.
- Occupancy sensors
- New refrigeration technology
- Refrigeration controls /night shutoffs
- Properly maintained HVAC /Programmable Thermostats

= $1,800 monthly bill
Side by Side Comparison
### Original Loan Term Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material costs</td>
<td>$9,204.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor costs</td>
<td>$6,571.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Subtotal</td>
<td>$15,775.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax (CT 6%)</td>
<td>$946.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>$16,721.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved UI Incentive</td>
<td>$7,886.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Total Cost</td>
<td>$8,835.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Payment (16)</td>
<td>$552.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Energy Savings</td>
<td>42,301 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated: Annual Dollar Savings</td>
<td>$6,927 = $577.25/monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay Back</td>
<td>1.2 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cash Positive** $25.05
## Loan Extension Strategy

### Project Cost:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material costs</td>
<td>$9,204.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor costs</td>
<td>$6,571.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Subtotal</td>
<td>$15,775.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax (CT 6%)</td>
<td>$946.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>$16,721.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved UI Incentive</td>
<td>$7,886.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>$8,835.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Payment (24)</td>
<td>$368.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Energy Savings</td>
<td>42,301 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated: Annual Dollar Savings</td>
<td>$6,927 = $577.25/monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pay Back**

1.2 years

**Cash Positive** $209.12
## Monthly Loan Installment

### New Charges & Credits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Supplier: VIRIDIAN ENERGY, INC.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Services Charge 80 kWh</td>
<td>80 kWh</td>
<td>$0.105000</td>
<td>$8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Services Charge 4240 kWh</td>
<td>4240 kWh</td>
<td>$0.099900</td>
<td>$423.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Generation Services Charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$431.98</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission per kwh on-peak</td>
<td>1520 kWh</td>
<td>$0.050792</td>
<td>$77.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Basic Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$66.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution per kw on-peak</td>
<td>14.4 kW</td>
<td>$3.630000</td>
<td>$52.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution per kw off-peak</td>
<td>0.0 kW</td>
<td>$3.630000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution per kwh on-peak</td>
<td>1520 kWh</td>
<td>$0.014199</td>
<td>$21.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution per kwh off-peak</td>
<td>2800 kwh</td>
<td>$0.014199</td>
<td>$39.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Public Benefits Charge</td>
<td>4320 kwh</td>
<td>$0.007512</td>
<td>$32.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive Transition Assessment per kwh</td>
<td>4320 kwh</td>
<td>$0.0015222</td>
<td>$65.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bypassable FMCC per kwh on-peak</td>
<td>1520 kwh</td>
<td>$0.013194</td>
<td>$20.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoupling Adjustment</td>
<td>4320 kwh</td>
<td>$0.000291</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Tracker and Earnings Sharing</td>
<td>4320 kwh</td>
<td>$0.000689-</td>
<td>$2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Delivery Charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$374.16</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Sales Tax on Electricity (6.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$39.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Business Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$516.19</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total New Charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1361.70</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amount Now Due

**$1361.70**

### Actual Account Balance

**$16331.21**

---

*One or more components have changed pricing this month, pricing may not print for that component.*

*All charges are due as of your Statement Date. Any unpaid charges will be subject to interest as of your Statement Date, at the rate of 1.25% per month, if not paid on or before May 6, 2011.*

*Making your payment on the Due Date at an authorized payment agent may not post until the following business day. If you have a question, contact UI. As authorized by law, for residential accounts, we supply payment information to credit rating agencies. If your account is more than 90 days delinquent, a delinquency report could harm your credit rating.*
CRITICAL PIECES
Contact Information

Dennis O’Connor

Small Business – Program Administrator
The United Illuminating Company
157 Church Street, Mail Stop 1-6B
P.O. Box 1564
New Haven, CT 06506-0901

Phone: 203-499-3715
Fax: 203-499-2800
E-Mail: dennis.o’connor@uinet.com