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1 What is PBR?
“All regulation is incentive regulation”

Incentives of traditional regulation

- Build and own to grow rate base
- Increase volume of sales and electricity usage to enhance profits
- Cut non-capital expenses
- Avoid disallowances
PBR provides a regulatory framework to connect goals, targets, and measures to utility performance or executive compensation.
Performance Based Regulation is... 

- PBR provides a regulatory framework to connect goals, targets, and measures to utility performance or executive compensation.
- Performance Incentive Mechanism (PIMs) are a component of a PBR that adopts specific performance metrics, targets, or incentives to affect desired utility performance that represent the priorities of the jurisdiction.
Elements of PBR

- Understand status quo incentives
- Guiding goal
- Directional incentives
- Operational incentives
- Measurable performance criteria
- Metrics
- Outputs and outcomes
Why is PBR important?
PBR and smart transformation of power sector

Old system = barrier to new technologies, policies
Questions: are there . . .

- Good things that are not profitable for the utility? (EE, solar PV)
- Bad things that are profitable to the utility? (Non-beneficial electrification)
- Good things not getting done for lack of interest or motivation? (Smart meters)
- Bad incentives but easily seen or less easily seen? (Swapping lightbulbs)
PBR can identify, target positive incentives and outcomes

- Solar distributed generation
- Higher ramping rate for integration of renewables
- Peak load reduction via demand response
- Increase customers enrolled in time-varying rates
- Water savings
- EV rate education and charging station deployment
3. What does “Bad” PBR look like?
Practices that can lead to difficulty

- Basing performance incentives on inputs
- Rewards or penalties based on exogenous factors ex: weather, economic growth, etc.
- Unclear or uncertain metrics or goals
- Lack of clarity and measurement methodology
- Not understanding utility motivations
• Bad incentive structure incentivized the utility to spend a lot on measures that saved very little.
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Carte Blanche for Cost Cutting

Pacific Northwest Bell

Result:

• Cut customer service
• Charged for customer service phone access
• Incentive to keep customers on hold
Energy Efficiency Incentive Structure

Puget Sound Power and Light, Washington

Utility short of the targets in 9 out of 10 topical areas, but received huge incentive
California customer satisfaction survey

Fix: Objective Criteria and Third-Party Evaluation
Expert: Save-a-watt a rip-off for consumers

By John Murawski - (Raleigh) News & Observer
AUGUST 01, 2008 12:00 AM

Duke Energy's controversial energy efficiency plan would be a windfall to Duke shareholders and a rip-off to Duke customers, an energy expert told state regulators Thursday.

Testifying before the N.C. Utilities Commission, Richard Spellman laid out the case against the save-a-watt proposal after the company's experts and executives had advocated for the proposal during three previous days of hearings.

If approved by the utilities commission, Spellman said, Duke's proposal would be the most expensive energy-efficiency program in the nation, but it would produce negligible energy savings.

Save-a-watt "is a bad deal for Duke ratepayers, but it is a great deal for stockholders in the company," Spellman said. "Do you want to be the one state in the U.S. that ends up with a (cost) that's a lot higher than what's being paid elsewhere?"
How are avoided costs calculated in the incentive?

Baseline and Incentive Design are critical

- “Save-A-Watt” program adopts high incentive
- The incentive was avoided investment in plant
- Perceived as paying excessive incentives to the utility for avoided investment in plant
- “Most expensive energy efficiency program in the nation”
FERC Transmission ROE Policies

• To broadly improve transmission reliability and reduce congestion, FERC’s Order No. 679 awards the transmission utility a higher rate of return on equity for new transmission investment.

• There is no requirement to quantify the benefits of a given investment in relationship to overall costs.
What does “Good” PBR look like?
Incentives set up to work

- Create good incentives
- Remove bad incentives
- Establish transparency at each step
- Align benefits and rewards
- Learn from experience
- Simple is good
Illinois Metrics for Time-of-Use Rates

ComEd customers enrolled in time-varying rates

- Number of residential customers on the utility tariff with time-variant or dynamic pricing
- Number of residential customers serviced by retail suppliers which have requested monthly data interchange for interval data
Diablo Canyon nuclear plant costs were high

- Rate base of cost overruns rejected
- Performance metric based on plant availability
- Diablo Canyon enjoyed a very high availability rate and operated with a very high capacity factor for much of its service life.
Localized DERs to Achieve Lowest Cost service

- Utility provided incentives such as direct payments to DER providers or customers
- Facilitated competitive procurements among DER providers; payments capped at the utility savings
- Shared savings consisted of ratepayers avoiding additional distribution costs; Con Edison receiving some of these savings in the form of a ROE adder
Non-Wires Alternatives

CPUC December 2016 Order

- Each utility is required to identify a significant upcoming distribution system investment and solicit proposals to meet the need with portfolios of distributed resources.
- If the most cost effective, then the utility will be required to enter into a contract with the winner.
Facilitated Competition Model under a Monopoly Regulated Business

- The power sector is changing rapidly
- Regulation should seek outcomes that simulate competitive market behavior where possible and beneficial
- For some purposes, advanced distributed technologies enable competition for provision of safe, reliable and low cost service
New York’s “Reforming the Energy Vision”
Integration of markets, customers, DER developers and utility regulation

- Metrics to encourage utilities to motivate third party activity where that provides efficient system outcomes
- Outcome-based incentives encourage innovation by utilities, allowing utilities to determine the most effective strategy
NY REV rewards distribution utilities for achieving facilitated competition and customer satisfaction

- Earnings Adjustment Mechanisms
- Financial details set in rate cases for each distribution utility
- Some EAMs are expected to supplement contributions to platform service revenues for the foreseeable future.
NY REV utility revenue over time

5. PBR in Europe
PBR in Denmark
Benchmarking model: outages / quality of delivery & efficiency
Danish PBR for Reliability

Source: DERA (2009)
Goal: to encourage the most inefficient Distribution System Operators (DSOs) to become as efficient as the top 10% of DSOs within a four-year period

How measured? Efficiency index comparing the actual cost incurred by a DSO in operating its grid with the costs incurred by an “average” DSO.
RIIO in the UK

Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers

RIIO - a new way to regulate energy networks

Factsheet 93 04.10.10 www.ofgem.gov.uk

Britain needs rewiring in a smarter way to ensure secure and sustainable energy supplies for consumers. Ofgem has introduced a new performance based model “RIIO” to set price controls to ensure consumers pay a fair price for this vital investment.
Electricity Distribution Networks Operators

Customer

Safety
Compliance with HSE Legislation

Environmental
Oil leakage
Business carbon footprint
SF6 emissions

Customer Service (scores out of 10)

Connections
Time to quote
Time to connect

Reliability
Customer interruptions
Length of interruptions

Social obligations (scores out of 10)
Stakeholder engagement

1 No formal targets were set for environmental outputs. The performance score reflects the change from the previous year.
2 Target score should be below 8.33.

Takeaways
Takeaways

• Recognize PBR is a powerful tool in the regulator’s toolbox

• PBR can align utility, ratepayer, and public interests

• PBR succeeds where it is clear, transparent at each step, and aligns rewards and incentives for utilities and customers
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