

18 SEPTEMBER 2018

Locational Pricing in Poland

Lessons from experience

Expert Panel Discussion

Warsaw

Michael Hogan
Senior Advisor
The Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)[®]

Rue de la Science 23
B-1040 Brussels
Belgium

mhogan@raponline.org
raponline.org



1

Context and issues definition



Context:

- Variable RES will grow in Poland
- System flexibility increasingly valuable for security of supply at reasonable cost
- Market design should expand system flexibility:
 - Maximize value of scarce investment capital & assets
 - Draw in widest suite of flexibility options
 - Support optimal portfolio of resource investment
- Approach to locational pricing a key factor
- “Bidding zones” debate in Winter Package: opportunity & risk

What is “locational pricing”?

- Market function rests on the principal of “marginal cost pricing”
- Absent congestion, marginal cost (almost) the same everywhere
- Even efficient grids experience congestion
- With congestion, marginal cost different at each location affected
- “Locational pricing” central to marginal cost pricing – often a major contributor to marginal cost
- Prices that socialize congestion costs create market distortions & risks that must be offset administratively

Locational pricing options

- “Large zones” – single-price market
- “Small zones” – zonal or market-splitting
- Locational marginal pricing – LMP

Large zones (single-price)



- a) Uniform price across all locations, increases (apparent) liquidity
- b) Zonal boundaries reflect political borders, not grid constraint locations
- c) Virtually all congestion resolved administratively, costs socialized
- d) Political boundaries invite political “constraints”
- e) Challenges: Limited visibility; disconnect between prices & costs creates risk, requires withholding of capacity; loss of flexibility; cost; perverse incentives


Small zones (zonal or market-splitting)



- a. Uniform prices across all nodes within defined zones
- b. Boundaries track major grid constraints
- c. Intra-zonal congestion resolved administratively, costs socialized
- d. Challenges: Constraint locations shift; disconnect between prices & costs persists; market concentration


Locational Marginal Pricing (LMP)

Midwest ISO real-time LMP, 9/7/2011, 9:25 a.m.



- Most consistent with reality and market theory
- Price at each “node” based on marginal cost to serve next increment of demand at that location
- Prices, capacity schedules respond flexibly to physical grid conditions
- Challenges: localized liquidity, mkt. power issues; complexity; setup cost

The Winter Package



- Winter Package process is considering “small bidding zones”
- The “fix” shares many of the challenges of “large zones”, plus new ones, with limited benefits
- LMP best in theory, maximizes flexibility; what about challenges?

2

Locational pricing in practice



Locational pricing around the world

LMP:

ISO New England
New York ISO
PJM (Mid-Atlantic U.S.)
Mid-Continent (U.S.) ISO
Southwest (U.S.) Power Pool
California ISO
ERCOT (Texas)
IESO (Ontario)
EIM (Western N. America)
New Zealand
Singapore
Argentina
Chile
Mexico
Philippines
Brazil


Small zone:

Nordic Market
Italy
Japan

Large zone:

IEM (Cont EU+UK/IE ex IT)
AESO (Alberta)
Colombia


LMP adoption in North American mkts.



ERCOT (Texas) locational pricing contours


Zonal

Pricing Contours of Unresolved Congestion in the Zonal Market




LMP

Pricing Contours of Unresolved Congestion in the Nodal Market




Risk management trading liquidity

Ratio of bid to cleared volumes in FTR auctions




Trading efficiency (liquidity metric)


Price difference between day-ahead mkt & real time in the ERCOT market



Use of critical grid assets




Congestion costs




German costs converted to US \$ at 0.9 € to the \$; ERCOT 2017 reflects, *inter alia*, impact of Hurricane Harvey

Market power (competitiveness) – structural test




2017: pivotal supplier approx. 25% of hrs. (vs. avg. 13% from 2005-2009)


Market power – conduct test



Market power – impact test



Investment for security of supply



2 Takeaways



Experience with LMP:

- Great majority of markets outside of Europe switched from zonal to LMP over past 20 years
- Recent switching decisions heavily influenced by growing vRES
- ERCOT case (switched Dec 2010) – improvements in:
 - Utilization of critical system assets (generation + transmission)
 - Trading efficiency
 - Lower average energy prices
- Good design can neutralize market power abuse
- Tools available to achieve robust trading liquidity
- Good support for investment in needed generation & transmission

About RAP

The Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)® is an independent, non-partisan, non-governmental organization dedicated to accelerating the transition to a clean, reliable, and efficient energy future.

Learn more about our work at raponline.org



Michael Hogan
Senior Advisor
The Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)®

Rue de la Science 23
B-1040 Brussels
Belgium

mhogan@raponline.org
raponline.org

“Locational pricing” definition

- **Locational marginal pricing** is a way for wholesale electric energy **prices** to reflect the value of electric energy at different locations, accounting for the patterns of load, generation, and the physical limits of the transmission system.

Source ISO – New England