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Where electricity is bought and sold, primarily by distribution and generation companies for delivering it to end consumers.
Present Wholesale Market Design

- Long-term power purchase agreements (PPAs) which are physical contracts
- Decentralized, bilateral day-ahead scheduling model
- Multi-exchange framework with a few market based products
- Frequency linked imbalance penalties
Wholesale Market Snapshot

Share of Transactions

- Long-term bilateral: 88%
- Short-term bilateral: 12%
- Power Exchanges: 5%
- Deviation Settlement Mechanism: 5%

Source: CERC MMR June 2020
Challenges with Current Structure

- Lack of imbalance management in real-time
- Small balancing areas incompatible with increasing variable RE
- Optimization of resources only at the discom/state level, potential benefits of regional/national level optimization on the table.
- Cost of generation at the system level in any given time-block is undiscovered.
What has CERC proposed and implemented?

- Centralized platform for scheduling and dispatch of resources in day-ahead (MBED/DAM) and real-time (RTM).
- Uniform price auction mechanism to ensure the cheapest resources are being utilized at the national level.
- PPAs > financial contracts or hedges against market prices with no changes in underlying obligations.
Questions and implications...

Simulation tool is designed to provide insights into these concerns and an opportunity to learn through experiments...

- What if there is market power present?
- Are bilateral wholesale market transactions suboptimal?
- How to make long-term investments in capacity in such market design?
- What if there were no legacy contracts?
- What if there was a centralized balancing market?
- How to operate merchant vs contracted plant in a centralized market?
- Are long-term contracts beneficial to me?
How does the simulation work?

- Distribution and Generation companies interact with each other in different *market designs, structures* and *time horizons (stages)*.

- Players
  - 3 Distribution Companies
  - 6 Generation Companies
  - 3 Automated Generation Companies*

- Three Stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-Term</th>
<th>Day-Ahead</th>
<th>Real-Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Power Purchase Agreements</td>
<td>- Self-scheduling</td>
<td>- Imbalance management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Investment and Planning</td>
<td>- Short-term bilateral trades</td>
<td>- Generator ramping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- PXs</td>
<td>- DSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- PXs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What do the players have to do?

Distribution Company
- Manage existing portfolio of PPAs
- Make decisions regarding new PPAs
- Scheduling decisions based on forecasted load
- Real-time imbalance management of deviations due to load or RE generation

Generating Company
- Operate existing portfolio of power plants (coal and gas)
- Decision making regarding investment in new plants (contract or merchant?)
- Short-term trading opportunities (bilateral and market)
Simulation Gameplay
Long-Term Stage

- Market Design – **Decentralized Bilateral Long-Term Procurement**
  - Players start with some existing capacity.
  - Gencos present long-term offers to each Discoms for new capacity
  - Discoms can accept or reject offers
  - Gencos can choose to build capacity as Merchants or leave it unbuilt
Simulation Gameplay
Day-Ahead Stage and Real-Time Stage

- Two Market Designs – **Decentralized Bilateral Scheduling** and **Centralized Market-based Scheduling**
- **Deviation Settlement Mechanism**
Walkthrough Simulation Interface

Website
## Simulation Features

### Policy Scenarios and Market Designs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Long-term stage</th>
<th>Day-ahead stage</th>
<th>Real-time stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>DSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bilateral + Initial Resale</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>DSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Bilateral + Centralized Auction</td>
<td>DSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Centralized Auction</td>
<td>Centralized Auction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Centralized Auction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Discoms have an additional opportunity to resell their legacy contracts to one another in the long-term stage.

**Note:** Most interactions occur bilaterally however Discoms are mandated to schedule at least 10% of their day-ahead forecast load from the centralized auction.
Simulation Features

Market Structures and Parameters

- Pair different scenarios with different market structures such as:
  - Less flexible capacity in the system
  - No legacy contracts
  - Level playing field
  - Market power in generation

- Modular simulations
  - Simulate specific stages in select scenarios eg: only DA stage in Scenario 5
  - Quicker simulation with focused insights and outcomes
Why an immersive tool?

- Disconnect between theoretical concepts and practical application
- International experiences can support discussions but cannot address regional problems
- Lack of access to quality data makes empirical analysis highly expensive and time consuming
Pay-as-Bid vs Uniform Price
Which mechanism yields a lower price in the long-run?
Uniform Price Auction (DAM/RTM)
How it impacts supply-side bidding strategies?

- Generator Data
  - Fixed Costs = Rs. 1/kWh
  - Variable Costs = Rs 2.5/kWh
  - Units – 100000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCP (Rs/kWh)</th>
<th>Strategy 1 = VC Bidding @ 2.5</th>
<th>Strategy 2 = TC Bidding @ 3.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VC Costs</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>2,60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>2,90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>3,40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>5,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>4,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>3,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Rs.)</td>
<td>15,00,000</td>
<td>21,60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Total Fixed Costs = Rs. 7 Lakhs
  - Fixed Cost Recovery (S1) = 21.6 Lakhs – 15 Lakhs = 6.6 Lakhs
  - Fixed Cost Recovery (S2) – 9.5 Lakhs – 5 Lakhs = 4.5 Lakhs
Economic-Engineering Fundamentals of Wholesale Markets

1. The Language of Costs
2. Services of Different Plants
3. Costs of Different Plants
4. Existing Plant Decision-making
5. New Plant Decision-making
6. Contracts, Auctions and Exchanges
7. System Management
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