Minnesota Power's Comments on Environmental Disclosure

November 26, 2001

Place	Proposed Change	Reason(s)
Overall	Version 2 is preferred over Version 1.	Version 2 is more reader friendly. Text should use a serif font versus a sans serif font.
Front cover	Your Electricity: Costs Fuel Sources Environmental Effects Your Choices plus Company logo	"Costs" was removed because the technical workgroup chose not to include an absolute cents/kWh value, or even a relative H/M/L rating. The workgroup instead proposed to have each utility rank their generation sources, from lowest to highest, according to costs. "Fuel" was added before "Sources" for clarification.
		"Your" was added before "Choices" to ensure that the reader understands that the brochure discusses <u>customer</u> choices, not <u>utility</u> choices. The new wording also parallels with the word "Your" before "Electricity."
Introductory paragraph, inside brochure	We You need energy, but ouryour energy use affects ourthe environment. Fuels used to generate electricity have different costs, reliability availability, and environmental effects. You can help the environment by using energy	Wording is consistent with the front cover text. "Availability" is a broader term that encompasses both reliability from a O&M standpoint as well as accessibility to the fuel source.
Pie Chart	more efficiently! Introductory text - How are our is your electricity needs met?	Wording is consistent with the front cover text. Also, the pie chart shows how retail customer's needs are met, not the utility's needs (i.e., it does not represent the fuel sources used for the utility's entire customer base).
	[name of company]	It is already clear that all information relates to the utility identified on the front cover.
	Label - Certain-Purchases	These are really the purchases for which we are <u>not</u> certain of the fuel source (though I couldn't think of a better word either).
	Label - Hydro_power	Space between words is consistent with the emissions text.

	Footnote - These purchases come	Wording better reflects many
	from manyvarious fuel sources throughout the region.	different fuel sources.
	Cost/Reliability Table	Replace with ranking of generation sources according to costs.
Emissions Charts and Text	Chart 1 Heading - Emissions by fuel types:	Wording is consistent with the heading for Chart 2.
	Both Charts - Place emission data in both charts in the same order. Color-code emissions the same in both charts. Either abbreviate or write out emission labels (e.g. use CO ₂ or carbon dioxide).	Increases understandability/readability.
	Emissions Text -	Although Minnesota Power has a few minor changes to the wording, we agree with the technical workgroup that this language is preferable to the earlier language stating health impacts of various emissions. The workgroup recognized that health impacts are dependent on emission concentration and exposure level. As the workgroup agreed, text regarding health impacts would be misleading to customers.
	Add statement regarding use of each fuel source (e.g., "Minnesota Power does not use nuclear power to generate electricity").	Added wording eliminates any confusion as to what fuel sources are actually used by the utility in meeting the needs of customers (i.e., consistency with pie chart).
	Wind power	Added statement on wind power is consistent with the opening line "Wind, hydro and solar power"
	LargeNew hydro powernew hydro power development.	Statement is more applicable to new versus large hydro facilities.
	Indent wind, hydro and solar text underneath opening line.	These statements belong under the opening line.
Contacts	Please visit www.pca.state.mn.us, or call Contact the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency at 651-297-2274 or 800-646-6247 or visit www.pca.state.mn.us for more information.	Wording identifies the agency.
Back of Brochure	What can <u>Iyou</u> do?	Wording is consistent with the front cover text.
	Contacts	Use same format as above.