RAP State Energy Efficiency Policy Inventory

Updated through December 2010

Midwest Region: IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, OK, SD, WI

STATE POLICY YEAR

Illinois 2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

Legislation passed in 2007 (SB 1592; Public Act 95-0481) states that utilities must meet 0.2% of their delivered load in 2008 with EE, increasing incrementally to 2% in 2015 and thereafter. The legislation also requires that a utility decrease the amount of EE and DSM implemented if necessary to limit the estimated average increase in the amounts paid by retail customers.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y-

NATURAL GAS

In 2009, the IL Legislature required natural gas utilities to implement cost-effective EE to meet natural savings requirements, starting at 0.2% of the total amount of gas delivered to retail customers in 2012 increasing to 7.1% in 2019 and an additional 1.5% in each 12-month period thereafter. The amount of EE implemented in any 3-year reporting period is limited by the estimated average increase in costs to retail customers attributable to the measures.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y-

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

STATE POLICY YEAR

Illinois 2010

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

All EE measures must have a benefit-cost ratio greater than one over the lifetime of the measure according to the total resource cost test. The TRC test includes benefits that accrue to the system and the participant in the delivery of those efficiency measures, as well as other quantifiable societal benefits, including avoided natural gas utility costs. Low-income programs do not have to meet the TRC test (SB 1918).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y+

NATURAL GAS

All EE measures must have a benefit-cost ratio greater than one over the lifetime of the measure according to the total resource cost test. The TRC test includes benefits that accrue to the system and the participant in the delivery of those efficiency measures, as well as other quantifiable societal benefits, including avoided natural gas utility costs. Low-income programs do not have to meet the TRC test (SB 1918).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y+

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Illinois

2010

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

Legislation passed in 2007 (SB 1592; Public Act 95-0481) states that utilities must meet 0.2% of their delivered load in 2008 with EE, increasing incrementally to 2% in 2015 and thereafter. The legislation also requires that a utility decrease the amount of EE and DSM implemented if necessary to limit the estimated average increase in the amounts paid by retail customers.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

In 2009, the IL Legislature required natural gas utilities to implement cost-effective EE to meet natural savings requirements, starting at 0.2% of the total amount of gas delivered to retail customers in 2012 increasing to 7.1% in 2019 and an additional 1.5% in each 12-month period thereafter. The amount of EE implemented in any 3-year reporting period is limited by the estimated average increase in costs to retail customers attributable to the measures.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

V

-d-

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION -d-

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Illinois

2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

Legislation passed in 2007 (SB 1592) requires utilities to provide for annual independent evaluation of programs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Natural Gas utilities are required to provide a full independent evaluation of the 3-year results of portfolios of measures (SB1592).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

Electric utilities are responsible for implementing 100% of DR measures and 75% of EE measures. The remaining 25% of EE measures shall be implemented by the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (SB1592).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Natural gas utilities are required to utilize 75% of the available funding and may outsource various aspects of the programs. The remaining 25% of available funding shall be used by the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (SB 1918).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ac

NATURAL GAS

STATE POLICY YEAR

Illinois 2010

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

A utility providing approved EE and DR measures shall be permitted to recover costs of those measures through an automatic adjustment clause tariff filed with and approved by the Commission (SB 1592).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

A utility providing approved EE and DR measures shall be permitted to recover costs of those measures through an automatic adjustment clause tariff filed with and approved by the Commission (SB 1918).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

The utility will suggest a mechanism for cost recovery in its EE plan (SB 1592).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N/A

NATURAL GAS

The utility will suggest a mechanism for cost recovery in its EE plan (SB 1918).

POLICY YEAR

Illinois

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

North Shore Gas and Peoples Gas and Coke have revenue-per-customer Volume Balancing Adjustment pilots to take place for four years; monthly adjustments began March 2008. Nicor Gas requested a VBA mechanism, however the Commission denied this request and instead implemented a straight-fixed variable rate (Docket No. 08-0363, March 25, 2009).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

-a-

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

IL does not have a mechanism in place for utility shareholder incentives for EE.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

IL does not have a mechanism in place for utility shareholder incentives for EE.

CITATIONS: Illinois

20 ILCS 3855/1-10, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=002038550HArt%2E+1& ActID=2934& ChapAct=20%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B3855%2F& ChapterID=5& ChapterName=EXECUTIVE+BRANCH& SectionID=75953& SeqStart=100000& SeqEnd=3700000& ActName=Illinois+Power+Agency+Act%2E

20 ILCS 687/6.6, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=002006870HArt%2E+6& ActID=266& ChapAct=20%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B687%2F& ChapterID=5& ChapterName=EXECUTIVE+BRANCH& SectionID=4481& SeqStart=600000& SeqEnd=700000&

ActName=Renewable+Energy%2C+Energy+Efficiency%2C+and+Coal+Resources+Development+Law+of+1997%2E

220 ILCS 5/, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=022000050HArt%2E+XVI& ActID=1277& ChapAct=220%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B5%2F& ChapterID=23& ChapterName=UTILITIES& SectionID=21314& SeqStart=35100000& SeqEnd=39400000& ActName=Public+Utilities+Act%2E

220 ILCS 5/16.107, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=022000050HArt%2E+XVI& ActID=1277& ChapAct=220%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B5%2F& ChapterID=23& ChapterName=UTILITIES& SectionID=21314& SeqStart=40500& SeqEnd=45100& ActName=Public+Utilities+Act%2E

220 ILCS 5/16-111.7, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=022000050HArt%2E+XVI& ActID=1277& ChapAct=220%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B5%2F& ChapterID=23& ChapterName=UTILITIES& SectionID=21314& SeqStart=35800000& SeqEnd=40900000& ActName=Public+Utilities+Act%2E

220 ILCS 5/19-140, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=022000050HArt%2E+XVI& ActID=1277& ChapAct=220%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B5%2F& ChapterID=23& ChapterName=UTILITIES& SectionID=21314& SeqStart=35800000& SeqEnd=40900000& ActName=Public+Utilities+Act%2E

220 ILCS 5/8-103, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=022000050HArt%2E+VIII& ActID=1277& ChapAct=220%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B5%2F& ChapterID=23& ChapterName=UTILITIES& SectionID=52875& SeqStart=9900000& SeqEnd=14800000& ActName=Public+Utilities+Act%2E

220 ILCS 5/8-104, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs4.asp?DocName=002038550HArt%2E+1& ActID=2934& ChapAct=20%26nbsp%3BILCS%26nbsp%3B3855%2F& ChapterID=5& ChapterName=EXECUTIVE+BRANCH& SectionID=75953& SeqStart=100000& SeqEnd=3700000& ActName=Illinois+Power+Agency+Act%2E

ACEEE Scorecard 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117& CFTOKEN=50109276

ACEEE, MEEA potential study, http://www.aceee.org/pubs/u051.htm

BCAP, http://bcap-energy.org/node/66

Commonwealth Edison Co (Exelon) Rate, https://www.comed.com/sites/customerservice/Pages/RateInformation.aspx

Docket No. 06-0617, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/Documents.aspx?no=06-0617

Draft CAIR Rule, http://www.epa.state.il.us/air/cair/set-aside-breakdown.html

Education and Communication Division, http://www.commerce.state.il.us/dceo/Bureaus/Energy_Recycling/Education/

Energy and Recycling Bureau, http://www.commerce.state.il.us/dceo/Bureaus/Energy Recycling/

FERC Order on PJM RPM Compliance Issues, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., October 30, 2009, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File list.asp?document id=13765680

CITATIONS: Illinois

FERC Order Regarding PJM Report and Tariff Filings in Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. Al., March 26, 2009, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File list.asp?document id=13701842

Final CAIR Rule, http://www.ipcb.state.il.us/documents/dsweb/Get/Document-55740/

Governor's Sustainable Energy Plan, 2005, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/electricity/sustainableenergyplaninitiative.aspx

HB 1013, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/fulltext.asp?Name=096-0073

HB 3987, http://bcap-energy.org/files/Public%20Act%20096-0778 Residential%20IECC.pdf

ICC electric programs, http://ilsag.org/home

ICC Order dated February 6, 2008 in Docket 07-0540. http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/files.aspx?no=07-0540&docld=119840

ICC Order October 14, 2009, Docket No. 09-0263, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/files.aspx?no=09-0263& docId=142015

ICC Order September 10, 2008 in Docket No. 07-0566, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/files.aspx?no=07-0566& docld=128596

ICC Order, December 28 2009, Docket 09-373, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/e-docket/reports/browse/document view.asp?id=9870& no=09-0373& did=144971

ICC Order, February 6, 2008, Docket 07-0539, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/files.aspx?no=07-0539& docId=119839

ICC Resolution, Docket No. 05-0437, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/CaseDetails.aspx?no=05-0437

ICC Staff Report, December 12, 2008 Staff Report, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/electricity/Energy%20Efficiency%20and%20Demand%20Response.aspx

ICC Website, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/e-docket/

IL Climate Change Advisory Group Report, November 13, 2008, http://www.epa.state.il.us/air/climatechange/documents/iccag-summary.pdf

IL Climate Change Advisory Group, November 13, 2008, http://www.epa.state.il.us/air/climatechange/documents/iccag-summary.pdf

Illinois Commerce Commission Order, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/e-docket/reports/browse/document_view.asp?id=9870& no=09-0373& did=144971.

Illinois Power Co (Ameren) - Rider RTP, http://www.ameren.com/sites/aiu/Rates/Pages/ratesPost2006AMCIPS.aspx

Illinois Power Co, IOU, http://www.ameren.com/sites/aiu/Rates/Documents/ipel32rdnm.pdf

IPA Procurement Plan dated October 20, 2008 filed in Docket 08-0519, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/Documents.aspx?no=08-0519

Nicor Gas Rate Case Docket 08-0363, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/docket/Documents.aspx?no=08-0363

CITATIONS: Illinois

Personal communication with Jennifer Hinman, Illinois Commerce Commission, 2/3/2011

PJM Report Proposing Changes to RPM filed in Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al. dated December 12, 2008, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13672172

PJM tariff filing to implement proposed changes to RPM in Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al. dated December 12, 2008, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File list.asp?document id=13672185

Procurement plans for ComEd and Ameren, 2009, http://www.icc.illinois.gov/electricity/procurementprocess2009.aspx.

SB 1592, Public Act 95-0481, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/95/PDF/095-0481.pdf

SB 1918, Public Act 96-0033, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/96/PDF/096-0033.pdf

Title 83: Public Utilities, Chapter I, Subchapter c, Part 440 Least-Cost Planning for Electric Utilities was repealed, http://www.ilga.gov/commission/jcar/admincode/083/08300440sections.html.

POLICY YEAR

Indiana

2010

OUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

IRP rules require utilities to demonstrate that the utility's resource plan utilizes, to the extent practical, all economical load management, conservation, nonconventional technology relying on renewable resources, cogeneration, and energy efficiency improvements as sources of new supply. (170 IAC 4-7-8)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

IRP rules require utilities to consider a wide range of demand-side programs in their IRPs, and utilities must demonstrate that the utility's resource plan utilizes, to the extent practical, all economical load management, conservation, nonconventional technology relying on renewable resources, cogeneration, and energy efficiency improvements as sources of new supply. (170 IAC 4-7-1)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

Indiana's IRP rules require some analysis of the impact of DSM on the transmission system (170 IAC 4-7-6).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Indiana

2010

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

in late 2009, the commission ordered all utilities to establish core DSM programs (Cause No. 42693, Phase II). Neither the TRC or Societal Cost Test are used to evaluate DSM programs. Methods of evaluation are detailed in (170 IAC 4-8-1 et seq.)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

Several Indiana utilities completed EE potential studies as of 2007: Hoosier Energy, Vectren South, Indianapolis Power and Light, Indiana Michigan Power, and Duke Energy (EPA, 2007). An 11-14-08 Technical Workshop discussed the possible need for a macro-level assessment of these potential studies, perhaps in conjunction with development of consistency requirements (Cause No. 42693). The commission also recommended a review of the completed studies to identify what has been done well, what could be improved upon in future studies, and research gaps to be addressed in future studies (Case No. 42693, Phase II).

FLECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

ACEEE estimated potential of aggressive EE programs to reduce consumer use of natural gas and peak electricity generated by natural gas (ACEEE, 2005).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

POLICY YEAR

Indiana

2010

OUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

An overall annual energy savings goal of 2% has to be achieved by jurisdictional electric utilities in the State of Indiana within 10 years, with interim savings goals established in the Order to be achieved in years one through nine (Cause 42693, Phase II Order approved on December 9, 2009). Savings goals will be based on the average weathernormalized electric sales over a three year period.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Indiana

2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

On December 9, 2009, the Commission directed the newly created DSM Coordination Committee to issue an Evaluation RFP from independent entities for the selection and utilization of an evaluation administrator(s) to undertake Evaluation, Measurement & Verification of DSM program offerings. The overall goals of the evaluation are stated in section D(ii) of the order (Cause No. 42693, Phase II).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

On December 2, 2009, the Commission established a Hybrid Model whereby an Independent Third-Party Administrator will oversee the Core DSM Programs established by the Order and the utilities will oversee any additional programs needed to achieve the energy savings goals established in the Order (Cause No. 42693, Phase II Order).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Ν

NATURAL GAS

An EE delivery structure has not been formerly established for natural gas. But, three Commission Orders (Causes 43046, 40351 and 42767) have approved EE programs which are to have DSM Oversight Boards and a Third Party Administrator who is selected by and reports to the Oversight Boards. On December 2, 2009, the Commission found that there was preliminary success with collaborative oversight boards that monitor the progress and effectiveness of natural gas conservation programs. (Cause No. 42693, Phase II Order)

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ab

NATURAL GAS

STATE POLICY YEAR

Indiana 2010

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

IRPs are submitted every two years.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Currently cost recovery is approved on a case-by-case basis concurrent with voluntary DSM program plan approval (Cause No. 43374).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y-

NATURAL GAS

NIPSCO was authorized to implement rate simplification along with its efficiency program in May 2007 (Cause No. 43051).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y-

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ab

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Indiana

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

The Commission can approve lost revenue recovery mechanisms proposed by utilities (170 IAC 4-8-6). The Commission recently approved Vectren's alternative regulatory plan, which included requests for performance incentives and lost revenue recovery. Vectren's decoupling proposal was rejected, but the commission did request that an alternative lost revenue proposal be submitted. Southern Indiana Power and Light has proposed lost margin recovery mechanisms, which is pending before the Commission (Cause No. 43427).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

Y-

-b-

NATURAL GAS

The Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission approved an efficiency program and decoupling mechanism for Vectren on 12/1/06 (Causes No. 43046 and 42943), and for Citizens Gas on 8/29/07 (Cause No. 42767). Natural gas decoupling was considered in general, but in an Order issued on 10/21/09 the Commission closed the investigation and expressed a general preference for straight-fixed variable rate design (Cause No. 43180).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION -a-

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

The Commission can approve incentive mechanisms proposed by utilities (170 IAC 4-8-7)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y-

NATURAL GAS

CITATIONS: Indiana

ACEEE 2010 EE Scorecard: http://www.aceee.org/node/3078?id=820

ACEEE study: http://www.aceee.org/pubs/u051.htm

Better Buildings Program: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/betterbuildings/indianapolis.html

Building Codes Assistance Project / Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, IN page: http://bcapenergy.org/node/67

City of Bloomington, Ordinance 09-04, March 26, 2009: https://bloomington.in.gov/media/media/application/pdf/4909.pdf

EPA, Growing EE Investment in Indiana, June 21 2007: http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/documents/suca/mw-jun-07_macey.pdf

Executive Office, Executive Order 08-14, June 24, 2008: http://www.in.gov/gov/files/EO_08_14.pdf;

FERC, Order on PJM RPM Compliance Issues, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., October 30, 2009: http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13765680

FERC, Order Regarding PJM Report and Tariff Filings, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., March 26, 2009: http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13701842

Indiana Administrative Code, 170 IAC 4-4.2: http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/IN05R.pdf

Indiana Administrative Code, 170 IAC 4-4.3: http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/IN04R.pdf

Indiana Administrative Code, 170 IAC 4-7: http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/T01700/A00040.PDF?

Indiana Administrative Code, Article 4, Electric Utilities: http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/T01700/A00040.PDF.

Indiana Administrative Code, Demand Side Management Incentives, 170 IAC 4-8-7 et seq.: http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/T01700/A00040.PDF

Indiana Administrative Code, Electric IRP rules, 170 IAC 4-7-1 et seq.: http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/T01700/A00040.PDF

Indiana Administrative Code, Resource Integration, 170 IAC 4-7-8 et seq.: http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/T01700/A00040.PDF

Indiana Administrative Code, Title 326, Article 24, SIP set-asides, CAIR: http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/iac_title?iact=326;

Indiana General Assembly, Hosier Homegrown Energy Plan, 2006: http://www.in.gov/oed/files/Energy_Strategic_Plan_1-2.pdf

Indiana General Assembly, House Enrolled Act No. 1280, 2008: http://www.in.gov/legislative/bills/2008/HE/HE1280.1.html

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Cause No. 43051 established an SBC of .0067/therm for NIPSCO in May 2007: https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Modules/IURC/CategorySearch/viewfile.aspx?contentid=0900b631800d382d

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Order, Cause No. 42767: Citizens Gas, August 29, 2007: http://www.in.gov/iurc/portal/iurc/Modules/Ecms/Cases/Docketed_Cases/ViewDocument.aspx?DocID=0900b631800dd673

CITATIONS: Indiana

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Order, Cause No. 43046, December 1, 2006:

http://www.in.gov/iurc/portal/iurc/Modules/Ecms/Cases/Docketed Cases/ViewDocument.aspx?DocID=0900b631800befea;

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Order, Cause No. 43051, May 2007:

http://www.in.gov/iurc/portal/iurc/Modules/Ecms/Cases/Docketed_Cases/ViewDocument.aspx?DocID=0900b631800d382d;

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Order, Cause No. 43180, December 1, 2006:

http://www.in.gov/iurc/portal/iurc/Modules/ECMS/Cases/Docketed Cases/ViewDocument.aspx?DocID=0900b631800beff2.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Order, Cause No. 43427, December 16, 2009:

https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Modules/Ecms/Cases/Docketed Cases/ViewDocument.aspx?DocID=0900b63180124f61

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Petition, Cause No. 40292, March 6, 2007:

http://www.in.gov/iurc/portal/Modules/IURC/CategorySearch/viewfile.aspx?contentid=0900b631800ca1d5

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commision, Phase II Order, Cause No. 42693, December 9, 2009:

https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Modules/Ecms/Cases/Docketed_Cases/ViewDocument.aspx?DocID=0900b63180123011

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 42693, Phase II approved on December 9, 2009:

http://www.in.gov/iurc/portal/iurc/Modules/IURC/CategorySearch/viewfile.aspx?contentid=0900b631800eeb6a

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43374, Approved on June 22, 2010:

https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Modules/IURC/CategorySearch/viewfile.aspx?contentid=0900b6318013674c

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43715, Approved on June 23, 2010:

https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Modules/IURC/CategorySearch/viewfile.aspx?contentid=0900b6318013f506

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Docket No. 43643: https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Guest.aspx?tabid=28

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Order, Cause No. 42693 (Phase II), November 14 2008:

https://myweb.in.gov/IURC/eds/Modules/IURC/CategorySearch/viewfile.aspx?contentid=0900b631800fd429

Martin Kushler, Dan York, and Patti Wittehttp, ACEEE, Potential Study, January 2005:

http://aceee.org/pubs/u051full.pdf?CFID=4892327&CFTOKEN=35620476

Northern Indiana Pub Serv Co - Rate 834: http://www.nipsco.com/About-us/Rates-Tariffs/Electric-Service-Tariff.aspx

PJM, Report Proposing Changes to RPM, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., December 12, 2008:

http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13672172

PJM, Tariff filing to implement proposed changes to RPM, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., December 12, 2008:

http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13672185

PSI Energy Inc (Duke Energy) -Rate Tariff: http://www.duke-energy.com/rates/indiana/tariff.asp

POLICY YEAR

Iowa

2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

lowa Code states that All supply and demand options are considered and evaluated using comparable terms and methods in order to determine how best to meet consumers' demands for energy at the least cost. (Iowa Code Section 473.2). HF 918, passed in 2007, established an Office of Energy Independence and a requirement for an annual Energy Independence Plan that will develop recommendations regarding state energy regulatory policy. Iowa utilities develop energy efficiency plans which are not traditional IRP's (See 1.2.1), but RAP considers it to be a IRP-like process.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Iowa Code states that All supply and demand options are considered and evaluated using comparable terms and methods in order to determine how best to meet consumers' demands for energy at the least cost (Iowa Code Section 473.2). HF 918, passed in 2007, established an Office of Energy Independence and a requirement for an annual Energy Independence Plan that will develop recommendations regarding state energy regulatory policy. Iowa utilities develop energy efficiency plans which are not traditional IRP's (See 1.2.1), but RAP considers it to be a IRP-like process.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

lowa electric and gas utilities are required to develop an energy efficiency plan for approval by the Iowa Utilities Board. The energy efficiency plans are developed in five-year cycles, and contain a forecast of future use of electricity for 20 years, and identify future supply options and costs. While this is not a traditional IRP, RAP considers it to be an IRP-like process. Efficiency planning requirements are found in IA statute and regulations (Iowa Code 476.6(16) and IAC 199—35.3).

FLECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

lowa electric and gas utilities are required to develop an energy efficiency plan for approval by the Iowa Utilities Board. The energy efficiency plans are developed in five-year cycles, and contains a forecast of the utility's current 12-month and 5-year forecasts of total annual throughput and peak day demand, and identify future supply options and costs. While this is not a traditional IRP, RAP considers it to be an IRP-like process. Efficiency planning requirements are found in IA statute and regulations (Iowa Code 476.6(16) and IAC 199—35.3).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

STATE POLICY YEAR

lowa 2010

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

EE plans as a whole are required to be cost-effective, and require the use of the societal, ratepayer impact, utility, and participant tests. EE programs for low-income customers and other specific programs do not need to be cost-effective (199 IAC 35.8(1)(e)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

EE plans as a whole are required to be cost-effective, and require the use of the societal, ratepayer impact, utility, and participant tests. EE programs for low-income customers and other specific programs do not need to be cost-effective (199 IAC 35.8(1)(e)).

POLICY YEAR

Iowa

2010

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

The Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance commissioned a potential study from addressing DSM potential in the 13 MEEA states (MEEA, 2006). In 2008, the Iowa Association of Municipal Utilities submitted a report that assessed the maximum potential energy and capacity savings available from cost-effective energy efficiency programs and measures for IAMU member utilities (IAMU, 2008). According to the state contact in 2010, Senate File 2386 directed gas and electric municipal and rural electric cooperatives to file reports with the board, which included potential studies. More details can be found in (SF 2386, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

The Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance commissioned a potential study from addressing DSM potential in the 13 MEEA states (MEEA, 2006). In 2008, the Iowa Association of Municipal Utilities submitted a report that assessed the maximum potential energy and capacity savings available from cost-effective energy efficiency programs and measures for IAMU member utilities (IAMU, 2008). According to the state contact in 2010, Senate File 2386 directed gas and electric municipal and rural electric cooperatives to file reports with the board, which included potential studies. More details can be found in (SF 2386, 2008).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

In 2008, the Iowa Utility Board issued an Order which required investor owned utilities to submit plans to achieve a 1.5% annual electricity and NG savings goal (Docket 199 IAC 35.4(1), January 2008). In 2009 the Iowa Utilities Board issued orders approving new energy efficiency plans for Iowa's investor-owned utilities. According to the state contact, the plans are projected to reach savings levels by 2012 of 1.4% of retail electricity use and 1.0% of retail natural gas use. In 2009, the IUB approved MidAmerican's EE plan, which calls for 1.5% electric savings by 2010 and .85% NG savings by 2013 (Docket No. EEP-08-2, March 2009).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

In 2008, the Iowa Utility Board issued an Order in which required investor owned utilities to submit plans to achieve a 1.5% annual electricity and NG savings goal (Docket 199 IAC 35.4(1), January 2008). In 2009 the Iowa Utilities Board issued orders approving new energy efficiency plans for Iowa's investor-owned utilities. According to the state contact, the plans are projected to reach savings levels by 2012 of 1.4% of retail electricity use and 1.0% of retail natural gas use. In 2009, the IUB approved MidAmerican's EE plan, which calls for 1.5% elec savings by 2010 and .85% NG savings by 2013 (in Docket No. EEP-08-2, March 2009).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

POLICY YEAR

Iowa

2010

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

Goals are established in the Efficiency Planning process, which includes assessment of potential, data from prior program years, and program and budget approval. According to the state contact in 2010, Senate File 2386 directed gas and electric municipal and rural electric cooperatives (previously not rate-regulated) to file reports with the board on developing their energy efficiency goals. More details can be found in SF 2386, 2008.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

acd

NATURAL GAS

Goals are established in the Efficiency Planning process, which includes assessment of potential, data from prior program years, and program and budget approval. According to the state contact in 2010, Senate File 2386 directed gas and electric municipal and rural electric cooperatives (previously not rate-regulated) to file reports with the board on developing their energy efficiency goals. More details can be found in (SF 2386, 2008).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

acd

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

According to the state contact in 2010, the board approved a program proposed by Interstate Power and Light (Alliant Energy), to provide incentives for small renewable energy installations by customers who agreed to achieve or maintain a certain level of energy efficiency in their homes or businesses, for a level of renwable energy built to serve the on-site energy use of the customer. Details can be found in (Order issued April 29, 2010 in Docket No. EEP-2008-0001). The Alliant program can help count towards their renewable energy generating capacity.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

Ν

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Iowa

2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

Utilities must include an M& V plan in Energy Efficiency plan filings (IAC 199—35.8). Iowa has not developed a technical reference manual. According to the state contact, investor-owned utilities included M& V plans in new energy efficiency plans approved by the Iowa Utilities Board in 2009. The Iowa Utilities Board is not required by law to develop a technical reference manual. However, the Iowa investor-owned utilities in 2009 filed documents containing the savings algorithms with their Operating Plans.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

Utilities must include an M& V plan in Energy Efficiency plan filings (IAC 199—35.8). Iowa has not developed a technical reference manual. According to the state contact, investor-owned utilities included M& V plans in new energy efficiency plans approved by the Iowa Utilities Board in 2009. The Iowa Utilities Board is not required by law to develop a technical reference manual. However, the Iowa investor-owned utilities in 2009 filed documents containing the savings algorithms with their Operating Plans.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

Programs are required to be delivered by the utilities or a contractor of the utilities.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Programs are required to be delivered by the utilities or a contractor of the utilities.

-a-

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Iowa

2010

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

See 1.2.1 above. Energy Efficiency plans are filed every five years. Starting in 2012, electric and gas utilities that are not required to be rate-regulated must file a biennial report identifying their progress in meeting the EE goal, and amendments to their EE plans (SF 2386, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

See 1.2.1 above. Energy Efficiency plans are filed every five years. Starting in 2012, electric and gas utilities that are not required to be rate-regulated must file a biennial report identifying their progress in meeting the EE goal, and amendments to their EE plans (SF 2386, 2008).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Utilities can recover costs through rates (IA Code 476.6(16)). Budgets and rates are established in the efficiency planning process. Related rules can be found in (IAC 199—35.12). The rates are reconciled annually.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Utilities can recover costs through rates (IA Code 476.6(16)). Budgets and rates are established in the efficiency planning process. Related rules can be found in (IAC 199—35.12). The rates are reconciled annually.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

-a-

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Iowa

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

Decoupling was considered only for natural gas because no electric utility expressed any interest in decoupling (Docket No. NOI-06-1). The Board's conclusion, that Iowa utilities have historically pursued efficiency aggressively without decoupling mechanisms, applies to both electric and gas utilities (Docket No. NOI-06-1). The IA Climate Change Advisory Council, established by SF 485, recommended that the state change incentive structures to deploy EE, including decoupling, lost revenue recovery, and other policies (IA Final Report, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

Decoupling was considered only for natural gas because no electric utility expressed any interest in decoupling (Docket No. NOI-06-1). The Board's conclusion, that Iowa utilities have historically pursued efficiency aggressively without decoupling mechanisms, applies to both electric and gas utilities (Docket No. NOI-06-1). The IA Climate Change Advisory Council, established by SF 485, recommended that the state change incentive structures to deploy EE, including decoupling, lost revenue recovery, and other policies (IA Final Report, 2008).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

Incentives were provided in the mid-1990s, when DSM cost recovery was done once every several years. In 1996, this system was changed to an annual true-up with no incentives.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

CITATIONS: Iowa

ACEEE, Iowa State Energy Efficiency Policy Database, 2010. http://www.aceee.org/sector/state-policy/iowa

Building Codes Assistance Project / Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, IA page. http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/iowa

Database for State Incentives in Renewable Energy, Iowa, Rule 15.10. http://www.dsireusa.org/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive Code=IA05R& re=1& ee=1

Interstate Power and Light Co (Alliant Energy), Utility Rates and Tariffs. http://www.alliantenergy.com/UtilityServices/UtilityRatesFacts/019474

Iowa Administrative Code, Section 199—15.6(476). http://www.legis.state.ia.us/aspx/ACODocs/DOCS/7-28-2010.199.15.pdf.

lowa Administrative Code, Section 199—35 et seq, 1997. http://www.legis.state.ia.us/Rules/Current/iac/199iac/19935/19935.pdf

Iowa Administrative Code, Section 199-35.3. http://www.legis.state.ia.us/Rules/Current/iac/199iac/19935/19935.pdf

Iowa Administrative Code, Section 473 et seq, 2009. http://coolice.legis.state.ia.us/cool-ice/default.asp?category=billinfo&service=iowacode&ga=83&input=473

Iowa Administrative Code, Section 476.41 et seq., Renewable Portfolio Standard. http://coolice.legis.state.ia.us/Cool-ICE/default.asp?category=billinfo& service=IowaCode& ga=83& input=476.41

Iowa Administrative Code, Section 476.6 et seq., 2009. http://coolice.legis.state.ia.us/Cool-ICE/default.asp?category=billinfo& service=IowaCode& ga=83& input=476.6

Iowa Association of Municipal Utilities, Energy Efficiency Potential Study, 2008. http://www.iamu.org/services/legislative/Complete%20Assessment%20Update.pdf

Iowa Climate Change Advisory Council, Final Report, December 23, 2008. http://www.iaclimatechange.us/ewebeditpro/items/O90F20723.pdf

Iowa Code 476.41, 2005. http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/IA02Rb.htm

Iowa Code 476.6(16). http://coolice.legis.state.ia.us/Cool-ICE/default.asp?category=billinfo& service=IowaCode& ga=83

Iowa Legislature, House File 2339. http://coolice.legis.state.ia.us/linc/HF2399 Enrolled.pdf

lowa Legislature, Senate File 2386, May 2008. http://coolice.legis.state.ia.us/Cool-ICE/default.asp?category=billinfo&service=billbook&GA=82&hbill=SF2386

Iowa Utilities Board, Docket No. NOI 06-03, Order issued on March 6, 2007. http://www.state.ia.us/government/com/util/docs/orders/2007/0306_noi063.pdf

Iowa Utilities Board, Docket No. 199 IAC 35.4(1), Order issued on January 14, 2008. http://www.state.ia.us/government/com/util/docs/orders/2008/0114_iac354.pdf

Iowa Utilities Board, Docket No. EEP-03-1, MidAmerican Energy Co., EE Incentives. http://www.state.ia.us/government/com/util/docs/orders/2007/1023_eep031.pdf

Iowa Utilities Board, Docket No. EEP-08-2, Order issued on March 9, 2009. https://efs.iowa.gov/efiling/groups/external/documents/docket/004253.pdf

CITATIONS: Iowa

Iowa Utilities Board, Docket No. NOI-06-01, Order issued on December 18, 2006. http://www.state.ia.us/government/com/util/docs/orders/2006/1218_noi061.pdf

Iowa Utilities Board, Docket No. RMU-2009-0008. https://efs.iowa.gov/efs/ShowDocketSummary.do?docketNumber=RMU-2009-0008

Iowa Utilities Board, Overview of Energy Efficiency Plans and Programs in Iowa. http://www.iowa.gov/iub/energy/energy_efficiency.html

Iowa Utilities Board, The Status of Energy Efficiency Programs in Iowa and the 2007 Iowa Residential Energy Survey, January 1, 2008. http://www.iowa.gov/iub/docs/misc/EE/noi072/noi072_StatusReport.pdf

Martin Kushler, Dan York, and Patti Wittehttp, ACEEE, Potential Study, January 2005. http://aceee.org/pubs/u051full.pdf?CFID=4892327& CFTOKEN=35620476

MidAmerican Co. Electric Rate guidelines. http://www.midamericanenergy.com/include/pdf/rates/elecrates/iaelectric/iaelec.pdf

MidAmerican Energy Co, IOU, Docket No. TF-01-293. http://www.state.ia.us/government/com/util/docs/orders/2002/0308_tf01293.pdf

MidAmerican Energy Co., Tariff Sheet E-27, N-34, or S-45. http://www.midamericanenergy.com/rates1.aspx

Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance, Midwest Residential Market Assessment and DSM Potential Study, March 2006. http://www.mwalliance.org/sites/default/files/uploads/MEEA_2006_Midwest%20Market%20Assessment%20Final%20Report.pdf

Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance, Midwest Residential Market Assessment and DSM Potential Study, March 2006. http://www.mwalliance.org/sites/default/files/uploads/MEEA_2006_Midwest%20Market%20Assessment%20Final%20Report.pdf

Office of Energy Independence, Energy Independence Plan, December 2008. http://www.energy.iowa.gov/OEI/docs/OEI_REPORTFINAL2008.pdf

Personal Communication with Gordon Dunn, Iowa Utility Board, February 3 2011.

Personal communication with Mr. Gordon Dunn at the Iowa Utilities Board, 2010.

State of Iowa, Executive Office, Executive Order No. 41, 2005. http://publications.iowa.gov/2619/1/EO 41.pdf

State of Iowa, Executive Office, Executive Order No. 6, February 21, 2008. http://publications.iowa.gov/6275/1/06-080221[1].pdf

POLICY YEAR

Kansas

2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

The Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC or Commission) has indicated its belief that energy efficiency is like a resource --an alternative to supply-side electricity generating assets. It is the Commission's intent to make energy efficiency as much like a supply-side power plant as possible in the sense that utilizing energy efficiency should reliably lower energy demands and peak demands (KCC, Docket No. 08-GIMX-442-GIV). Under Kansas Statute, a utility is also required to provide the Commission with information about its conservation measures, demand side management...and information about the power supply alternatives it has considered when making a request for a predetermination of ratemaking principles for generation investment (KSA 66-1239(c)(2)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

The Commission has indicated its belief that energy efficiency is like a resource --an alternative to supply-side electricity generating assets. It is the Commission's intent to make energy efficiency as much like a supply-side power plant as possible in the sense that utilizing energy efficiency should reliably lower energy demands and peak demands (KCC, Docket No. 08-GIMX-442-GIV).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

V

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

The Commission considered the PURPA standards related to IRP. The Commission declared that while it had considered PURPA and the IRP requirements, it declined to adopt the PURPA standards and impose additional regulatory burdens on Kansas utilities (KCC, Docket 09-GIME-360-GIE and KCC, Docket 09-GIME-360-GIE, July 27, 2009).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

STATE POLICY YEAR

Kansas 2010

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

The Commission ruled that utilities should submit five benefit-cost tests with DSM or DR program applications and that emphasis would be placed by the Commission on the TRC and RIM tests. The Commission also ruled that the formulas as laid out in the California Manual [California Standard Practice Manual: Economic Analysis of Demand-Side Programs and Projects, July 2002] should be used for benefit-cost calculations (KCC, Docket No. 08-GIMX-442-GIV, April 13, 2009).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

See 2.2 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

The Kansas Energy Council commissioned a potential study that was completed on 8/11/08 by Summit Blue Consulting.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

The Kansas Energy Council commissioned a potential study that was completed on 8/11/08 by Summit Blue Consulting.

STATE POLICY YEAR

Kansas 2010

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

The Commission evaluated the Staff Report and declined to adopt an Energy Efficiency Program, including MW or MWh savings goals. The Governor announced in a 2007 State of the State address that she had asked energy producers to undertake efforts to reduce consumption 5% by 2010 and 10% by 2020 (KCC, Docket No. 07-GIMX-247-GIV, October 10, 2007).

Ν

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

See 2.5.1 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N/A

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N/A

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

Kansas has an RPS, but efficiency cannot be used to meet it.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

Kansas's RPS does not affect natural gas utilities.

POLICY YEAR

Kansas

2010

OUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

The Commission approved a proposed third-party EM& V structure governing independent review and assessment of utility-administered energy efficiency programs. Program evaluations should be conducted 2 years after the beginning of a program by a preferred third-party evaluator following International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP) (KCC, Docket 10-GIMX-013-GIV, October 4, 2010).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

See 2.6.1 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

The Commission stated that it would not require a third-party administrator, but instead would rely on utilities to provide EE programs (KCC, Docket No. 08-GIMX-441-GIV, November, 14, 2008). However, there is no affirmative language specifying individual utilities as a delivery structure for EE.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

See 2.7.1 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

The Commission stated that it would not require a third-party administrator, but instead would rely on utilities to provide EE programs (KCC, Docket No. 08-GIMX-441-GIV, November, 14, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

See 2.7.2 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

-a-

POLICY YEAR

Kansas

2010

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

The Commission considered the PURPA standards related to IRP, but declared that while it had considered PURPA and the IRP requirements, it declined to adopt the PURPA standards and impose additional regulatory burdens on Kansas utilities (KCC, Docket 09-GIME-360-GIE, July 27, 2009(.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

See 2.8 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

The Commission stated that it believed a rider mechanism would be the best method for cost recovery; proposals for riders will be submitted with EE program approvals (KCC, Docket 08-GIMX-441-GIV, November 14, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

See 4.1.1 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

The Commission stated that it believed a rider mechanism would be the best method for cost recovery; proposals for riders will be submitted with EE program approvals (KCC, Docket 08-GIMX-441-GIV, November 14, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

See 4.1.2 Electric

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

-a-

POLICY YEAR

Kansas

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

The Commission stated that it will consider decoupling proposals made in connection with EE programs on a case-by-case basis (KCC, Docket 08-GIMX-441-GIV, October 14, 2008). No application has yet been made.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

Y-

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

The Commission may grant from 0.5-2% increased Return on Equity for utility investments on Renewable Energy or Energy Efficiency (Kansas Statute66-117). The Commission decided to allow for incentives, but limit them to specific EE programs: proposals that target low and fixed income customers, and renters; and proposals that target new and existing residential housing and demonstrate a potential for long-term energy savings using a comprehensive whole house concept (KCC, Docket 08-GIMX-441-GIV, November 14, 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

See 5.2.1 Electric

CITATIONS: Kansas

ACEEE, 2009 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117&CFTOKEN=50109276.

ACEEE, 2010 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2010 (Report E107), http://www.aceee.org/research-report/e107/

ACEEE, 2010 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2010, http://www.aceee.org/node/3078?id=820

Building Codes Assistance Project / Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, KS page, http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/kansas

City of Greensburg, Kansas, Resolution NO. 2007-17, December 17, 2007, http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/KS06R.pdf

DSIRE, Kansas, Incentives/policies for renewables and efficiency, Last reviewed 2/23/10, http://www.dsireusa.org/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive Code=KS06R& re=1& ee=1

Empire Distric Electric Company, https://www.empiredistrict.com/Default.aspx

Executive Directive, No. 07-373, January 1, 2007, http://da.ks.gov/ps/subject/arc/memos/ExeDir%2007%20373.pdf

International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP), http://www.evo-world.org/

Kansas City Power & Light Co, Resource Plan, http://www.kcpl.com/cep/about approach.html

Kansas City Power and Light DSM portfolios: KCPL, http://www.kcplsave.com/default.html

Kansas City Power and Light, http://kcpl.com/

Kansas City Power and Light, Smart Grid Demonstration Project, 2009, http://www.energy.gov/news2009/documents2009/SG_Demo_Project_List_11.24.09.pdf

Kansas Energy Council, Kansas Energy Report 2009, http://kec.kansas.gov/energy_plan/energy_plan_09.pdf

Kansas Energy Office, Facility Conservation Improvement Program, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/energy/fcip/index.htm

Kansas HB 2369, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/scan/200708/20070807082551.pdf

Kansas Legislature, Chapter 66, Article 12, Sections 56-62, http://www.kslegislature.org/li/statute/066_000_0000_chapter/066_012_0000_article/

Kansas Legislature, Chapter 66, Article 12, Section 39, 2003, http://kslegislature.org/li/statute/066_000_0000_chapter/066_012_0000_article/066_012_0039_section/066_012_0039_k /

Kansas Legislature, Chapter 66, Article 12, Section, 2007, http://www.kslegislature.org/li/statute/066_000_0000_chapter/066_012_0000_article/066_012_0027_section/066_012_0 027_k/

Kansas Statutes Annotated, Chapter 66, Article 117, July 1, 1997, http://www.kslegislature.org/legsrv-statutes/getStatuteInfo.do

CITATIONS: Kansas

Kansas Statutes, Chapter 75, Article 37, Section 125, 2000, http://kansasstatutes.lesterama.org/Chapter_75/Article_37/75-37x125.html

KCC, Docket 10-GIMX-013-GIV, October 14, 2010, http://kcc.ks.gov/scan/201010/20101004152422.pdf

KCC, Docket No. 08-MDWG-1128-TAR, September 5, 2008, http://estar.kcc.ks.gov/estar/ViewFile.aspx?Id=8574afbd-7d6d-4c64-af44-2f21a1543941

KCC, Final Order, Docket No. 09-WSEE-925-RTS, January 27, 2010, http://estar.kcc.ks.gov/estar/ViewFile.aspx?Id=0bef0f67-fa02-4683-89ee-0679494edd79

KCC, Smart Grid, http://www.kcplsmartgrid.com/about/default.html

KCC, Dockets 09-WSEE-636-TAR, 09-WSEE-738-MIS, 09-MDWG-777-TAR, and 09-MDWE-778-TAR

KCC, Final Order, Docket 07-GIMX-247-GIV, October 10, 2007, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/scan/200710/20071010110245.pdf

KCC, Final Order, Docket 08-GIMX-441-GIV, November 14, 2008, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/scan/200811/20081114142730.pdf

KCC, October 2008, http://kcc.ks.gov/scan/200907/20090727082750.pdf

KCC, Order, Docket 07-GIME-116-GIV, August 8, 2007, http://kcc.ks.gov/scan/200708/20070808133549.pdf

KCC, Order, Docket 08-GIMX-442-GIV, April 13, 2009, http://estar.kcc.ks.gov/estar/ViewFile.aspx?Id=ae5b251e-7aef-4c08-83aa-1d18abdf8347

KCC, Order, Docket 08-GIMX-442-GIV, June 2, 2008, http://estar.kcc.ks.gov/estar/ViewFile.aspx?Id=f9c4d053-454c-4671-a79f-1a496992e213

KCC, Order, Docket 09-GIME-360-GIE, December 14, 2009, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/scan/200912/20091214154644.pdf

KCC, Order, Docket 09-GIME-360-GIE, July 27, 2009, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/scan/200907/20090727082750.pdf

KCC, Order, Docket 10-GIMX-013-GIV, July 8, 2009, http://kcc.ks.gov/scan/200907/20090708163857.pdf

KCC, Order, Docket No. 08-GIMX-442-GIV, April 13, 2009, http://kcc.ks.gov/scan/200904/20090413145247.pdf

KSPUC, Energy Efficiency Codes Working Group, May 2009, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/energy/codes/index.htm

KSPUC, Order, Docket 09-GIME-360-GIE, October 22, 2008, http://www.kcc.state.ks.us/scan/200810/20081022141307.pdf

Personal Communication with Michael Deupree of Kansas Corporation Commission, 1/20/2011

Personal Communication with Michael Deupree of Kansas Corporation Commission, 1/20/2011

SmartStar Lawrence, http://smartstarlawrence.com/

Summit Blue Consulting, Kansas Energy Council Energy Efficiency Potential Study, 2008, http://kec.kansas.gov/reports/KEC_DSM_Final_081108.pdf

CITATIONS: Kansas

Westar Energy, http://www.westarenergy.com/

Westar Energy, Simple Savings, http://www.westarenergy.com/wcm.nsf/content/simplesavings

Westar Energy, SmartStar Lawrence, http://smartstarlawrence.com/

Westar Energy, Comprehensive Eny plan February 2008, http://www.westarenergy.com/corp_com/contentmgt.nsf/0f609ad5512bd4ee8625709d006f984e/04b9d9730ad6b75d8625 7577005ab084?OpenDocument

Westar Energy, Standby Service Rider, http://www.westarenergy.com/corp_com/contentmgt.nsf/tariffnorth?openview

POLICY YEAR

Michigan

2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

Legislation that passed in 2008 established an EERS, termed an Energy Optimization Savings Standard (see 2.5.1). In addition, utilities are required to file an IRP when they apply to the Commission for a certificate of necessity to add capacity (see 1.2.1).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Legislation that passed in 2008 established an EERS, termed an Energy Optimization Savings Standard (see 2.5.1).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

Legislation passed in 2008 that requires utilities, when they petition the Commission for a certificate of necessity to add capacity by construction, renovation, or long-term power purchase, to include an integrated resource plan (MCL 460.6s). The IRP must include detailed information on energy efficiency programs, existing and proposed, that were considered as part of the plan. The Commission issued guidelines on IRP in 2008; EE is treated as equivalent to supply in the guidelines (MI PSC, Docket U-15896, Order on 12/23/08, Exhibit B).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Ν

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Michigan

2010

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

As described in 1.2.1, MI requires utilities to submit an IRP when adding capacity. The IRP guidelines state: To the extent practicable, the IRP shall include an analysis of existing transmission import and export capability, proposed transmission projects, and the availability and

economic impact of power imports and exports (MI PSC, Docket U-15896, Order on 12/23/08, Exhibit B).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

Energy optimization plans must use the Utility System Resource Cost Test, analogous to the Program Administrator Test (MCL 460.1073). The test is defined in an order, and incorporates future carbon taxes into the benefits (MI PSC, Docket U-15800, Order on 12/4/08, Attachment E).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

Energy optimization plans must use the Utility System Resource Cost Test, analogous to the Program Administrator Test (MCL 460.1073). The test is defined in an order, and incorporates future carbon taxes into the benefits (MI PSC, Docket U-15800, Order on 12/4/08, Attachment E).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

Michigan 2010

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

An assessment of efficiency potential in Michigan was done by the Energy Center of Wisconsin in July 2006 to inform the development of the 21st century energy plan. MI was also included in a regional potential study conducted by ACEEE in 2005 (ACEEE, January 2005). A study that included estimates of EE potential also was done by Synapse Energy Economics and Optimal Energy, funded by NRDC and the Energy Foundation (Synapse, date unknown).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

ACEEE in 2005 estimated potential of aggressive EE programs to reduce consumer use of natural gas and peak electricity generated by natural gas (ACEEE, January 2005). This study was conducted by ACEEE to provide policymakers with reasonable assumptions of some achievable efficiency goals for their states.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

MI's Energy Optimization Savings Standard was established in 2008 (MCL 460.1077). The standard requires IOUs and retail suppliers to achieve electric savings in 2008 and 2009 of 0.3% of 2007 retail electricity sales, ramping up to 1% of the previous year's retail sales in 2012 and thereafter. Renewable Energy Credits, Advanced Cleaner Energy Credits, and Load Management are permitted to provide up to 10% toward the Energy Optimization Savings Standard, with Commission approval. According to ACEEE, Efficiency United (the third-party administrator some utilities have chosen to use) is not subject to the statutory targets, but the Commission has imposed equivalent targets in Efficiency United's contract. There is no penalty for failing to achieve the savings amounts, but there are incentives for exceeding the targets. There are spending caps on how much utilities may collect and spend on energy efficiency.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

MI's Energy Optimization Savings Standard was established in 2008 (MCL 460.1077). The standard requires natural gas utilities to achieve savings in 2009 of 0.1% of 2007 retail natural gas sales, ramping up to 0.75% of the previous year's retail sales in 2012 and thereafter. Renewable Energy Credits, Advanced Cleaner Energy Credits, and Load Management are permitted to provide up to 10% toward the Energy Optimization Savings Standard, with Commission approval. According to ACEEE, Efficiency United (the third-party administrator some utilities have chosen to use) is not subject to the statutory targets, but the Commission has imposed equivalent targets in Efficiency United's contract. There is no penalty for failing to achieve the savings amounts, but there are incentives for exceeding the targets. There are spending caps on how much utilities may collect and spend on energy efficiency.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Υ

Michigan 2010

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION -b-

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

MI has a Renewable Energy Standard; energy efficiency (termed energy optimization) may be used to fulfill a portion of the standard (MCL 460.1027). Energy optimization credits and advanced cleaner energy credits may be substituted for up to 10% (combined) of the required renewable energy credits, with approval of the Commission. Energy optimization credits are substituted at a one-to-one ratio with RECs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Michigan

2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

According to statute, energy optimization plans shall include a process for obtaining an independent expert evaluation of the actual energy optimization programs to verify the incremental energy savings from each energy optimization program (MCL 460.1071). Rules regarding evaluation of energy optimization programs are underway (MI PSC, Docket U-15900; and MI Administrative Code (proposed) 460.246).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

According to statute, energy optimization plans shall include a process for obtaining an independent expert evaluation of the actual energy optimization programs to verify the incremental energy savings from each energy optimization program (MCL 460.1071). Rules regarding evaluation of energy optimization programs are underway (MI PSC, Docket U-15900; and MI Administrative Code (proposed) 460.246).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

N

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

Utilities are required to administer EE programs themselves, administer the programs jointly with other providers, select a non-profit to administer the programs, or opt to work with the Commission-selected third-party program administrator (the Independent Energy Optimization Program Administrator) (MCL 460.1071). The third-party administrator is competitively selected and must be a non-profit; it is called Efficiency United, and its programs launched in 2009. Currently, eleven companies offer electric and/or gas EE programs through Efficiency United.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Utilities are required to administer EE programs themselves, administer the programs jointly with other providers, select a non-profit to administer the programs, or opt to work with the Commission-selected third-party program administrator (the Independent Energy Optimization Program Administrator) (MCL 460.1071). The third-party administrator is competitively selected and must be a non-profit; it is called Efficiency United, and its programs launched in 2009. Currently, eleven companies offer electric and/or gas EE programs through Efficiency United.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

v

Michigan 2010

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ab

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION ab

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

IRPs are filed only when a utility is applying to the Commission for a certificate of necessity to add capacity (see 1.2.1).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Statute states that Energy Optimization Plans should include provisions for cost recovery (MCL 460.1089; MI PSC, Docket U-15800, Order on 12/4/08). Rates typically include EE riders representing volumetric charges for residential customers and monthly per meter charges for commercial and industrial customers. Spending for each utility is limited to 0.75% of total sales revenues in 2009, 1.0% in 2010, 1.5% in 2011, and 2.0% in 2012 and each year thereafter.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

Statute states that Energy Optimization Plans should include provisions for cost recovery (MCL 460.1089; MI PSC, Docket U-15800, Order on 12/4/08). Rates typically include EE riders representing volumetric charges for residential customers and monthly per meter charges for commercial and industrial customers. Spending for each utility is limited to 0.75% of total sales revenues in 2009, 1.0% in 2010, 1.5% in 2011, and 2.0% in 2012 and each year thereafter.

Michigan 2010

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION -a-

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

Consumers Energy received approval for a pilot electric decoupling mechanism in 2009 (MI PSC, Case U-15645, Order on 11/2/09). Detroit Edison received approval for a decoupling pilot in 2010 (MI PSC, Cases U-15768 and U-15751, Order on 1/11/10). The Detroit Edison decoupling mechanism is contingent after the first year on meeting reporting requirements, exceeding benchmarks for the Energy Optimization Program, and committing to providing enhanced EE programs and DSM resources to all customer classes.

As described under 2.7.1, electric utilities also have the option of using a third-party administrator to deliver their EE programs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

٧

NATURAL GAS

Decoupling mechanisms were approved in 2010 for Consumers Energy, Michigan Consolidated Gas, and Michigan Gas Utilities (MI PSC, Case U-15986, Order on 5/17/10; Case U-15985, Order on 6/3/10; Case U-15990, Order on 7/1/10).

Statute states The commission shall authorize a natural gas provider that spends a minimum of 0.5% of total natural gas retail sales revenues, including natural gas commodity costs, in a year on commission-approved energy optimization programs to implement a symmetrical revenue decoupling true-up mechanism that adjusts for sales volumes that are above or below the projected levels that were used to determine the revenue requirement authorized in the natural gas provider's most recent rate case (MCL 460.1089).

As described under 2.7.1, electric utilities also have the option of using a third-party administrator to deliver their EE programs.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Michigan 2010

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ac

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION ac

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

In 2009, the Commission approved financial incentive mechanisms for Detroit Edison, Michigan Consolidated Gas Company and Consumers Energy (MI PSC, Dockets U-15806, U-15890, U-15805 & U-15889).

Statute provides two ways in which utilities can receive an economic incentive for implementing energy efficiency programs: 1) utilities may request that EE program costs be capitalized and earn a normal rate of return; 2) utilities may request a performance incentive if the they exceed the annual energy savings target. Performance incentives may not exceed the lesser of: 15% of the total cost of the EE programs; or 25% of the net cost reductions experienced by the provider's customers as a result of implementation of the energy optimization plan (MCL 460.1075).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

In 2009, the Commission approved financial incentive mechanisms for Detroit Edison, Michigan Consolidated Gas Company and Consumers Energy (MI PSC, Dockets U-15806, U-15890, U-15805 & U-15889).

Statute provides two ways in which utilities can receive an economic incentive for implementing energy efficiency programs: 1) utilities may request that EE program costs be capitalized and earn a normal rate of return; 2) utilities may request a performance incentive if the they exceed the annual energy savings target. Performance incentives may not exceed the lesser of: 15% of the total cost of the EE programs; or 25% of the net cost reductions experienced by the provider's customers as a result of implementation of the energy optimization plan (MCL 460.1075).

CITATIONS: Michigan

ACEEE, Regional potential study, January 2005, http://www.aceee.org/pubs/u051.htm

ACEEE, State Scorecard, 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117& CFTOKEN=50109276

BCAP, MI Building Codes, http://bcap-energy.org/node/75

Consumers Energy Company, General Service Self Generation Rate GSG, http://www.consumersenergy.com/content.aspx?id=2005

Detroit Edison, Rider No. 3 rate,

http://www.dteenergy.com/businessCustomers/billingPayment/rates/electric/electricRates.html

Energy Center of Wisconsin, Potential Study, Summary, July 2006,

http://www.cis.state.mi.us/mpsc/electric/capacity/energyplan/energyeff/SummaryofMichiganEEPotentialStudywdocument aion.pdf

FERC, Order on PJM RPM Compliance Issues, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., October 30, 2009, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13765680

FERC, Order Regarding PJM Report and Tariff Filings in Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. Al., March 26, 2009, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File list.asp?document id=13701842

FERC, PJM Report Proposing Changes to RPM filed in Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. Al., December 12, 2008, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13672172

MCL 460.1001, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1001

MCL 460.1027, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1027

MCL 460.1071, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1071

MCL 460.1073, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1073

MCL 460.1075, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1075

MCL 460.1077, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1077

MCL 460.1089, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1089

MCL 460.1097, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1097

MCL 460.6s, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-6s

MCL Section 460.1095, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1095

MCL Section 460.1131, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1131

MCL Section 460.1133, http://www.legislature.mi.gov/(S(h44ibvnoayyrvs55u2oif5i3))/mileg.aspx?page=GetObject&objectname=mcl-460-1133

CITATIONS: Michigan

MCL Section 460.1171, http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?mcl-460-1171

MI Administrative Code (proposed) 460.246, http://www.michigan.gov/lara/0,4601,7-154-35738 5698-118525--,00.html

MI Administrative Code R 460.481 et seq, http://www.state.mi.us/orr/emi/admincode.asp?AdminCode=Single& Admin_Num=46000481& Dpt=LG& RngHigh=

MI Construction Code, Michigan Uniform Energy Code R 408.31060,

http://www.state.mi.us/orr/emi/admincode.asp?AdminCode=Single& Admin_Num=40831001& Dpt=CI& RngHigh=

MI Legislature, Act 286 of 2008,

https://sharepoint.raponline.org:4433/knowledgecenter/research/policygrids/Shared%20Documents/Policy%20Grid%20States/Michigan/MI%20Grid%202008/2008-PA-0286 ratecaselaw.pdf

MI Legislature, Act 286, http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2007-2008/publicact/pdf/2008-PA-0286.pdf

MI Legislature, Public Act 295, http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2007-2008/publicact/pdf/2008-PA-0295.pdf

MI Legislature, SB 937, http://198.109.173.11/documents/1999-2000/publicact/pdf/2000-PA-0141.pdf

MI PSC, 21st Century Energy Plan, January 2007,

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mpsc/21stcenturyenergyplan 185274 7.pdf

MI PSC, Case No. U-14346, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/14346/0061.pdf

MI PSC, Case No. U-15590, Order on July 1, 2008, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15590/0001.pdf

MI PSC, Case No. U-15645, Order on November 2, 2009, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15645/0491.pdf

MI PSC, Case No. U-15787, http://www.dleg.state.mi.us/mpsc/orders/electric/2009/u-15787 05-26-2009.pdf

MI PSC, Case No. U-15800, Temporary Order on December 4, 2008, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15800/0001.pdf

MI PSC, Case U-15184, Order on July 26, 2007, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15184/0005.pdf

MI PSC, Case U-15590, Staff report on July 31, 2009, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15590/0003.pdf

MI PSC, Case U-15620, Order on July 1, 2008, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15620/0001.pdf

MI PSC, Case U-15800, Order on December 4, 2008, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15800/0001.pdf

MI PSC, Case U-15896, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15896& submit.x=30& submit.y=12

MI PSC, Case U-15896, Order on December 23, 2008, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15896/0001.pdf

MI PSC, Case U-15985, Order on 6/3/10

MI PSC, Case U-15986, Order on 5/17/10

CITATIONS: Michigan

MI PSC, Case U-15990, Order on 7/1/10, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15990/0050.pdf

MI PSC, Cases U-15768 and U-15751, Order on 1/11/10, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15768/0379.pdf

MI PSC, Docket U-15800, Order on 12/4/08, Attachment E, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15800& submit.x=31& submit.y=18

MI PSC, Docket U-15896, Order on 12/23/08, Exhibit B, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15896&submit.x=21&submit.y=16

MI PSC, Docket U-15900, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15900

MI PSC, Draft Rules, http://www.state.mi.us/orr/emi/rules.asp?type=dept& id=LG.

MI PSC, Report on Act 295 Implementation, November 30, 2009, http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mpsc/mpsc_energy_optimization_report_113009_302592_7.pdf

MI PSC, Staff report on AMI minimum functionality, Case U-15620, October 1, 2008, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/15620/0025.pdf

MI PSC, Website, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/

MI PSC, Website, http://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/

Ozar, Robert, MI PSC, Personal Communication, 2/7/2011

Synapse Energy Economics and Optimal Energy, Energy Future: A Green Alternative for Michigan, date unknown, http://docs.nrdc.org/energy/files/ene 09081101b.pdf

POLICY YEAR

Minnesota

2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

The Minnesota Next Generation Energy Act of 2007 (Minnesota Statutes 2008 § 216B.241) sets energy savings goals for both natural gas and electric utilities of 1.5% of retail sales, starting in 2010. Utilities may request a lower target, but in no case may be lower than 1% per year. Included under this goal are savings from energy conservation programs, rate design, energy codes, appliance standards, market transformation programs, programs to change human behavior, improvements to infrastructure, and waste heat recovery (Minn. Stat 216B.241).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

The Minnesota Next Generation Energy Act of 2007 (Minnesota Statutes 2008 § 216B.241) sets energy savings goals for both natural gas and electric utilities of 1.5% of retail sales, starting in 2010. Utilities may request a lower target, but in no case may be lower than 1% per year (Minn. Stat 216B.241). However, the commissioner approved an average savings goal of 0.75% for 2010-2012 for CenterPoint Energy and other qualifying natural gas utilities (Welch, 2011). Included under this goal are savings from energy conservation programs, rate design, energy codes, appliance standards, market transformation programs, programs to change human behavior, improvements to infrastructure, and waste heat recovery (Minn. Stat 216B.241).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

Electric utilities are required to file resource plans according to rules adopted by the Commission (Minn. Stat. 216B.2422). The statute requires utilities to develop the least cost plan for meeting 50 and 75 percent of all new and refurbished capacity needs through a combination of conservation and renewable energy resources. Filing requirements for the resource plans are found in Minnesota Rules, 7843.0100, Subp. 9.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

Gas utilities are not required to do resource planning, but do file Conservation Improvement Plans (CIP) at least once every three years under section 216B.241 of the Minnesota Statutes.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

N

POLICY YEAR

Minnesota

2010

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

Demand-side alternatives to transmission lines must be considered before lines over a certain size are constructed and the owner must show that there are no least-cost demand-side alternative solutions (Minn. Stat. 216B.243).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

The Commissioner of the Department of Commerce must consider the costs and benefits to ratepayers, the utility, participants, and society, when determining cost-effectiveness of CIP programs (Minn. Stat. 216B.241). The Office of Energy Security considers the societal test, which combines impact on the utility, program participants, non-participating ratepayers, and environmental benefits, to be most important (OLA, 2005).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

γ

NATURAL GAS

The Commissioner of the Department of Commerce must consider the costs and benefits to ratepayers, the utility, participants, and society, when determining cost-effectiveness of CIP programs (Minn. Stat. 216B.241). The Office of Energy Security considers the societal test, which combines impact on the utility, program participants, non-participating ratepayers, and environmental benefits, to be most important (OLA, 2005).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

POLICY YEAR

Minnesota

2010

OUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

In 2010, Summit Blue completed a potential study for the state of Minnesota that estimated cumulative energy savings potential, as a percentage of projected 2028 demand, at 26% economic potential with 11.7% savings in the low case and 13.9% savings using current best practices (Summit Blue, 2010).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

A study of MN gas EE potential was commissioned by CenterPoint Energy, Integrys, and Xcel Energy and was completed by Navigant in March 2009. The study found economic cumulative savings potential for CenterPoint, Integrys, and Xcel of 28, 23, and 24%, respectively (Navigant, 2009).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

The savings goal of 1.5% of energy sales will be translated into savings goals, based on 1.5% of the previous 3 years' average sales. Currently, statute requires the Commissioner of Commerce to establish goals when plans and budgets are approved (Minn. Stat 216B.241).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

The savings goal of 1.5% of energy sales will be translated into savings goals, based on 1.5% of the previous 3 years' average sales. Currently, statute requires the Commissioner of Commerce to establish goals when plans and budgets are approved (Minn. Stat. 216B.241). However, the commissioner approved an average savings goal of 0.75% for 2010-2012 for CenterPoint Energy and other qualifying natural gas utilities (Welch, 2011).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

POLICY YEAR

Minnesota

2010

OUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

In its energy conservation improvement plan filing, a utility may request the commissioner to adjust its annual energy-savings percentage goal (Minn. Stat. 216B.241).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

bc

NATURAL GAS

In its energy conservation improvement plan filing, a utility may request the commissioner to adjust its annual energy-savings percentage goal (Minn. Stat. 216B.241).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

hc

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

Energy efficiency is not an eligible source to fulfill requirements under the RPS (Minn. Stat 216B.1691).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

Ν

NATURAL GAS

Same as electric.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

M& V Protocols have been established for custom efficiency projects exceeding 1,000,000 kWh in savings annually (Docket No. E,G999/CIP-06-1591). The MN Office of Energy Security laid out the M& V protocols in Measurement and Verification Protocols for Large Custom CIP Projects (MN OES 2008).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

M& V Protocols have been established for custom efficiency projects exceeding 20,000 MCF in savings annually (Docket No. E,G999/CIP-06-1591.) The MN Office of Energy Security laid out the M& V protocols in Measurement and Verification Protocols for Large Custom CIP Projects (MN OES 2008).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

Minnesota 2010

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

Utilities administer conservation improvement programs (Minn. Stat. 216B.241).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

Utilities administer conservation improvement programs (Minn. Stat. 216B.241).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION -a-

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

Resource plans under Minnesota Statute 216B.2422 are generally filed every two years.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Minnesota

2010

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Utilities are required to invest 1.5% - 2% of operating revenues for energy conservation programs. Utilities can be required to exceed this amount when additional cost-effective programs are identified. The commission allows a utility to recover expenses resulting from a conservation improvement program required by the department (Minn. Stat 216B.241).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Utilities are required to invest 0.5 percent of operating revenues for energy conservation programs. Utilities can be required to exceed this amount when additional cost-effective programs are identified. The commission allows a utility to recover expenses resulting from a conservation improvement program required by the department (Minn. Stat 216B.241).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

V

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Minnesota

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

The commission is required to allow utilities to participate in a pilot decoupling program, not to exceed three years in length. Any extension beyond three years can only be approved in a general rate case (Minn. Stat. 216B.2412). The commission requires utilities who wish to participate to file decoupling pilot programs by December 30, 2011 (Docket No. 08-132).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

The commission is required to allow utilities to participate in a pilot decoupling program, not to exceed three years in length. Any extension beyond three years can only be approved in a general rate case (Minn. Stat. 216B.2412). The commission requires utilities who wish to participate to file decoupling pilot programs by December 30, 2011 (Docket No. 08-132). In 2010, the commission approved a decoupling pilot for CenterPoint that would allow it to recover its losses from all factors other than abnormally warm weather (Docket G-008/GR-08-1075). The Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation has also filed a notice of intent to file a decoupling pilot program (Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-123)

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

V_

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -a-

NATURAL GAS

Minnesota 2010

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

The PUC is required to review incentive plans and adjust the incentives as necessary to meet the new savings goals (Minn. Stat. 216B.241). In 2010, the Commission approved a Shared Savings incentive scheme that increases the incentive as energy savings levels increase past the threshold so that when the utility achieves energy savings equal to 1.5% of retail sales, electric utilities will earn an incentive equal to \$0.09 times the number of kWh saved (Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-133)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

The PUC is required to review incentive plans and adjust the incentives as necessary to meet the new savings goals (Minn. Stat. 216B.241). In 2010, the Commission approved a Shared Savings incentive scheme that increases the incentive as energy savings levels increase past the threshold so that when the utility achieves energy savings equal to 1.5% of retail sales, gas utilities will earn at a range from \$4.50 to \$6.50 times the number of thousand cubic feet saved. CenterPoint would earn \$3.00 per McF since it is currently decoupled (Docket No. E,G-999/Cl-08-133).

CITATIONS: Minnesota

ACEEE, 2009 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117& CFTOKEN=50109276.

ACEEE, 2010 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October, 2010, http://www.aceee.org/sites/default/files/publications/researchreports/e107.pdf

Allete, 2011 Profile, http://www.allete.com/investors/financial/annualreport/ALLETE2011ProfileFINAL.pdf

Alliant, Shared Savings,

http://www.alliantenergy.com/UtilityServices/ForYourBusiness/ProductsServices/BusinessRewardsIncentives/MinnesotaBusinessIncentivePrograms/015444

Building Codes Assistance Project / Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, MN page, http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/minnesota

DSIRE, Minnesota Incentives/Policies for Renewables and Efficiency,

http://www.dsireusa.org/incentives/index.cfm?getRE=1?re=undefined& ee=1& spv=0& st=0& srp=1& state=MN

Executive Office, Executive Order -5-15, 2005,

http://www.governor.state.mn.us/priorities/governorsorders/executiveorders/2005/PROD005605.html;

Martin Kushler, Dan York, and Patti Witte, ACEEE, Potential Study, January 2005, http://aceee.org/pubs/u051full.pdf?CFID=4892327& CFTOKEN=35620476

Minnesota Administrative Code, R.7835.3300, http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/MN01Ra.htm

Minnesota Administrative Rules , 7843.0100, Subp. 9., 2005, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/rules/?id=7843& view=chapter

Minnesota Administrative Rules, 7690.0500, Rules for CIP Filings, 2005, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/rules/?id=7690&view=chapter#rule.7690.0500

Minnesota Administrative Rules, Chapter 7843, https://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/rules/?id=7843

Minnesota Energy Resources, Notice of Intent Regarding Decoupling Pilot Program, Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-132, 20105-50879-01, May 27, 2010, https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId={E88DE9B0-2F99-470B-87DA-883ED8BF0FCB}&documentTitle=20105-50879-0120105-50879-01

Minnesota Interconnection Standards, http://www.puc.state.mn.us/docs/orders/04-0131.pdf

Minnesota Power Integrated Resource Plan, http://www.mnpower.com/earthfriendly/integrated_resource_plan.htm

Minnesota Power, Standby Rider, http://www.mnpower.com/customer_service/your_bill/electric_rates.htm

Minnesota PUC, Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-133, April 12, 2010,

https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId=%7b7B3ABF3D-E126-4A33-A9C2-94676D54DFFE%7d&documentTitle=20101-46471-01

Minnesota PUC, Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-133, January 27, 2010,

https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId=%7b7B3ABF3D-E126-4A33-A9C2-94676D54DFFE%7d&documentTitle=20101-46471-01

CITATIONS: Minnesota

Minnesota PUC, Docket No. G-008/GR-08-1075, January 10, 2011, https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId=%7b9BAACB94-7AB8-4318-90CA-F241A1BAEC7B%7d&documentTitle=20101-45867-01

Minnesota Statute 216B.164, http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/MN01R.htm

Minnesota Statute, 16B.325, 2008, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/data/revisor/statute/2009/016B/2009-16B.325.pdf

Minnesota Statute, 216B.241, 2010, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=216B.241

Minnesota Statutes, §216B.241, 2007, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=216B.241

Minnesota Statutes, 216B.1691, 2009, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/data/revisor/statute/2009/216B/2009-216B.1691.pdf

Minnesota Statutes, 216B.2412, Sect. 6, 2007, http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/bin/getpub.php?pubtype=STAT_CHAP& year=current& chapter=216B#stat.216B.2412.0

Minnesota Statutes, 216B.242, 1993, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/data/revisor/statute/2009/216B/2009-216B.242.pdf

Minnesota Statutes, 216B.243 Subd.3, 2009, http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/stats/216B/243.html

Minnesota Statutes, 216C.05, 2007, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bin/getpub.php?type=s& num=216C.05& year=2007

Minnesota Valley Electric Cooperative, Energy Resource Conservation Loans, http://www.mvec.net/save/loans.asp

MN Office of Energy Security, Measurement and Verification Protocols for Large Custom CIP Projects, April 2008, http://www.state.mn.us/mn/externalDocs/Commerce/MV Protocols 122809031058 LargeProjectMVProtocols.pdf

MN PUC, Investigation into Federal Energy Policy Act 2005, August 10, 2007,

https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup& documentId={7C416F1F-C3FD-4F38-8336-7031ACAA097A}& documentTitle=4749423

MN PUC, Measurement and Valuation Protocols, Docket No. 06-1591, November 22, 2006,

 $https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup\& documentId= \{39B74A35-B39B-4451-AEF1-8766A45E037E\}\& documentTitle=3578969$

MN PUC, Order establishing criteria and standards for decoupling, Docket No. CI-08-132, June 19, 2009,

https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup& documentId={6F09548B-9CA0-419B-9C13-5AF41E0A0551}& documentTitle=20096-38723-01

Navigant, Minnesota Gas Energy Efficiency Potential, 2009,

http://www.state.mn.us/mn/externalDocs/Commerce/Minnesota_Gas_Energy_Efficiency_Potential_Study_082109014726_ MinnesotaGasPotentialFinalReport.pdf

Northern States Power Co, https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId={449D2F8F-D52A-474D-BC84-EDC046A53D98}&documentTitle=20098-40483-01

Northern States Power Co, Standby Service Rider,

http://www.xcelenergy.com/Minnesota/Company/About_Energy_and_Rates/Energy%20Prices%20%28Rates%20and%20Tar iffs%29/Pages/MNEnergy_Rates.aspx

CITATIONS: Minnesota

Office of the Legislative Auditor, MN, Energy Conservation Improvement Program, 2005, http://www.auditor.leg.state.mn.us/Ped/pedrep/0504all.pdf

Orans, Ren et al, Inclining for the Climate, Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 2009, http://www.fortnightly.com/archive/puf_archive_0509.cfm

Personal communication with Rachel Welch, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, February 4, 2011

Personal Communication with Rachel Welsh, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, February 4, 2011

Summit Blue Consulting, Minnesota Statewide Electricity Efficiency Potential Study DSM Potentials Report, April 30, 2010, http://www.state.mn.us/mn/externalDocs/Commerce/CARD_Minnesota_Electric_Energy_Efficiency_Potential_Study__Exec uti_063010013802_DSMPotentialsReportExecutiveSummary.pdf

Xcel Energy, Minnesota Electric Rate Book- MPUC No. 2, http://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xe/Regulatory/Regulatory%20PDFs/Me_Section_5.pdf

Xcel Energy, Minnesota Gas Rate Book- MPUC No. 2, http://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xe/Regulatory/Regulatory%20PDFs/Mg_Section_5.pdf

Missouri 2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

Missouri considers EE to be a high priority resource. Existing Missouri regulations require utilities in their Integrated Resource Planning to consider and analyze demand-side efficiency and energy management measures on an equivalent basis with supply-side alternatives in the resource planning process. (4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)). The Missouri Legislature reaffirmed this commitment in 2009 by enacting the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act, stating, It shall be the policy of the state to value demand- side investments equal to traditional investments in supply and delivery infrastructure and allow recovery of all reasonable and prudent costs of delivering cost-effective demand-side programs. (25 MRS 393.1075.3)

While the Act permits cost recovery for all cost-effective EE, it is unclear whether it will be a requirement to pursue all cost-effective EE. A rulemaking process is underway as of the end of 2010 to amend the IRP rules.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

Missouri regulation integrates EE into the IRP by requiring utilities in their IRP process to consider EE as an equivalent resource to supply side resources. (4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)).

This integration was strengthened in 2009 by the passage of the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act, which states, The commission shall permit electric corporations to implement commission-approved demand-side programs proposed pursuant to this section with a goal of achieving all cost- effective demand-side savings. (25 MRS 393.1075). A rulemaking process is underway as of the end of 2010 to determine the impact of the Act on the IRP regulations.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

Missouri 2010

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

MO requires the use of the TRC test to evaluate EE programs as part of its resource planning process. This requirement is based in both statute and regulation. (25 MRS 393.1075.4, 4 CSR 240-22.050). TRC is defined as a test that compares the sum of avoided utility costs and avoided probable environmental compliance costs to the sum of all incremental costs of end-use measures that are implemented due to the program, as defined by the commission in rules. (25 MRS 393.1075.2(6).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y+

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Missouri

2010

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

The Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance commissioned a potential study from Summit Blue Consulting in 2006 addressing DSM potential in the 13 MEEA states. This was a regional study, not a Missouri specific study. Individual electric utility companies have produced potential studies of their respective service territories. For example, Ameren retained Global Energy Partners to conduct a potential study for Ameren's service territory in 2010. Additionally, a statewide DSM Potential Study is underway in 2010 by KEMA, with the intent of publishing it in 2011.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

The Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance commissioned a potential study from Summit Blue Consulting in 2006 addressing DSM potential in the 13 MEEA states. This was a regional study, not a Missouri specific study. Additionally, a statewide DSM Potential Study is underway in 2010 by KEMA, with the intent of publishing it in 2011.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

There are no quantitative MW and MWh savings goals for Missouri.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N/A

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

See 2.5.1.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N/A

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Missouri

2010

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

EE may not be used to fulfill requirements for Missouri's RPS.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N/A

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

Missouri requires demand side programs to be evaluated using process, impact, and market evaluations. (4 CSR 240.22.050(9)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

The Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act establishes an EE delivery structure: the commission shall permit electric corporations to implement commission approved demand side programs ... with the goal of achieving all cost effective demand side savings. (25 MSR 393.1075.4). An administrative rulemaking process, which concluded at the end of 2010, will provide guidelines for the implementation of the Act.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

Utilities undertake EE, but this is not established in statute.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

Missouri 2010

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

Delivery of utility-funded EE programs is via the regulated utilities. Some choose to administer the programs "inhouse" while others have chosen to contract a third party.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

Delivery of utility-funded EE programs is via the regulated utilities. Some choose to administer the programs "inhouse" while others have chosen to contract a third party.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

-a-

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

Missouri regulation requires utilities to file updates every three years. (4 CSR 240.22.080(1)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Missouri

2010

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Missouri's Energy Efficiency Investment Act states that it is the policy of Missouri to allow for the recovery of all reasonable and prudent costs of delivering cost-effective demand-side programs. (25 MSR 393.1075.3). The Act grants the commission the authority to develop recovery mechanisms to achieve this policy goal. (25 MSR 393.1075.5). However, the regulations governing the implementation of the Act have not yet been promulgated.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Missouri statute permits natural gas utilities to make an application to the commission to approve rate schedules authorizing periodic rate adjustments outside of general rate proceedings to reflect the non-gas revenue effects of increases or decreases in residential and commercial customer usage due to variations in ... conservation. (25 MSR 386.266.3). However, at this time no formal rules have been adopted by the Commission to implement this legislation in a uniform fashion.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

Y-

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Missouri

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

The Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act states that it is Missouri's policy to align utility incentives with helping customers use energy more efficiently and to provide utilities with timely earnings opportunities associated with cost-effective energy efficiency. (25 MSR 393.1075.3). The Act also permits the commission to develop cost recovery mechanisms in support of the abovementioned policy. (25 MSR 393.1075.5).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

Missouri statute permits natural gas utilities to make an application to the commission to approve rate schedules authorizing periodic rate adjustments outside of general rate proceedings to reflect the non-gas revenue effects of increases or decreases in residential and commercial customer usage due to variations in ... conservation. (25 MSR 386.266.3). At least one utility, Atmos, has been given permission to partially decouple its rates for Residential and Small Firm General Service customers. (Docket No. GR-2010-0192).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

See 5.1.1.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-b-

ab

NATURAL GAS

Missouri has no uniform methodology but, instead, permits a variety of kinds of throughput incentives in individual cases. For example, Missouri Gas Energy has straight fixed variable rates. (Case No. GR-2006-0422). Also, Laclede Gas has a block rate design for residential and small general service customers that increases the customer charge and reduces the amount of margin rate collected during the heating season. (Case No. GR-2005-0284).

Missouri 2010

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

Utility shareholder EE incentives are permitted, but implementation of the Act is still pending. (25 MSR 393.1075.5).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y-

NATURAL GAS

CITATIONS: Missouri

ACEEE, 2010 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2010, http://www.aceee.org/research-report/e107

ACEEE, Examining the Potential for Energy Efficiency to Help Address the Natural Gas Crisis in the Midwest, January 2005, http://www.aceee.org/pubs/u051.htm

AmerenUE, Personal Energy Manager, Smart grid Fact Sheet, 2009, http://www.ameren.com/Media/Documents/FactSheets/SmartGrid.pdf

AmerenUE, Residential Rate Tariff, June 21, 2011, http://www.ameren.com/sites/aue/Rates/Documents/umbe28rt1M.pdf

Global Energy Partners, LLC, AmerenUE Demand Side Management (DSM) Market Potential Study Volume 3: Analysis of Energy-Efficiency Potential, Global Report No. 1287-3, January 2010, http://www.ameren.com/sites/aue/Environment/Renewables/Documents/AmerenUEVolume3PotentialReport.pdf

Kansas City Power and Light Co, Schedule SGC, http://www.kcpl.com/about/ratesrules.html

KCPandL, Missouri Rates Schedules, May 14, 2006, http://www.kcpl.com/about/moRateSched.html

KCPandL, Smart Grid Project, 2010, http://www.kcplsmartgrid.com/about/timeline.html

MEEA, Midwest Residential Market Assessment And DSM Potential Study, March 2006, http://www.mwalliance.org/sites/default/files/uploads/MEEA_2006_Midwest%20Market%20Assessment%20Final%20Report.pdf

Missouri DNR, CAIR Annual Nox Set Aside Rules, January 2008, http://www.dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2234.pdf

Missouri DNR, NOx SIP Call, April 26, 2005, http://www.dnr.mo.gov/env/apcp/Rules/nox sipcall/nox sip bdgt demo mo.pdf

Missouri Public Service Commission, Order, Docket No. GR-2010-0192, August 20, 2010, https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/DocketSheet.html

MO Code of State Regulations, Title 10, Section 10-6.350, Feb. 14, 2005, http://www.sos.mo.gov/adrules/csr/current/10csr/10c10-6b.pdf

MO Code of State Regulations, Title 4, Sect. 240-22 et seq, March 29, 1993, http://sos.mo.gov/adrules/csr/current/4csr/4c240-22.pdf

MO Corporation Commission, Case No. GR-2009-0355, Report and Order, Feb. 10, 2010, https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=GR-2009-0355& attach_id=2010012100

MO Corporation Commission, Case No. GR-2009-0434, Order Clarifying Report and Order on DSM Funding, March 10, 2010, https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/DocketSheet.html

MO Corporation Commission, Order of Rulemaking, Case No. EX-2009-0252, 7/9/09, https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=EX-2009-0252& attach_id=2010000140

MO Corporation Commission, Order, Case ER-2007-0004, 2007, https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=ER-2007-0004& attach_id=2007026935

CITATIONS: Missouri

MO Corporation Commission, Order, Case No. EO-2006-0496, July 2007,

 $https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=EO-2006-0496\& attach_id=2008000680$

MO Corporation Commission, Order, Case No. GR-2005-0284, 10/1/2005,

https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=GR-2005-0284& attach_id=2006005888

MO Corporation Commission, Report and Order, Case No. GR-2006-0387, February 22, 2007, https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=GR-2006-0387& attach_id=2007018856

MO Corporation Commission, Stipulation and Agreement, Case GR-2007-0208, 2007,

 $https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=GR-2007-0208\&attach_id=2008000453$

MO Corporation Commissions, Report and Order, Case No. GR-2006-0422, March 22, 2007, https://www.efic.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncemponents/view_itempo_details_asp2caseno=GR-

https://www.efis.psc.mo.gov/mpsc/commoncomponents/view_itemno_details.asp?caseno=GR-2006-0422& attach_id=2007021032

MO Executive Office, Executive Order 09-18, April 23,2009, http://governor.mo.gov/orders/2009/09-18.htm

MO Legislature, HB 734, 2009, http://www.house.mo.gov/billtracking/bills091/biltxt/truly/HB0734T.HTM

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 25, Section 386.266 et seq, Jan. 1, 2006, http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/C300-399/3860000266.HTM

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 25, Section 386.890,1/1/08, http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/c300-399/3860000890.htm

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 25, Section 393.1030 et seq., Nov. 4 2008, http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/C300-399/3930001030.HTM

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 25, Section 393.1040, Jan. 1, 2008, http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/C300-399/3930001040.HTM

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 25, Section 393.1075.1 et seq, August 29, 2009, http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/C300-399/3930001075.HTM

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 41, Section 701.50 et seq, May 29, 2008, http://www.moga.mo.gov/STATUTES/C701.HTM

MO Legislature, Revised Statutes, Title 6, Section 67.2800 et seq, 3/1/2010, http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/C000-099/0670002800.HTM

MO Legislature, Senate Bill 1181, May 29, 2008,

http://www.senate.mo.gov/08info/BTS_Web/Summary.aspx?SessionType=R& SummaryID=511733& BillID=144166

MODNR, Using Solar Energy & Net Metering, 4/28/2011, http://www.dnr.mo.gov/energy/renewables/solar10.htm

SB 54 can be accessed from here, http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/MO07R.pdf

Union Electric Co (Ameren) - Rider E. Rate available at: https://www2.ameren.com/business/Rates/ratesBundledElecFullSrvMO.aspx

CITATIONS: Missouri

Union Electric Co (Ameren), http://www.ameren.com/ResourcePlan/

POLICY YEAR

Nebraska

2010

OUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

Nebraska has an IRP requirement in statute for public utilities (and all power at the retail level is provided by public utilities). The IRP requirement states that demand and supply resources shall be treated on a consistent and integrated basis. However, the requirement has not resulted in significant program spending on EE historically. See Section 1.2.1.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

Nebraska utilities do not offer natural gas efficiency programs at this time.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

Nebraska Code Section 66-1060 requires public utilities to practice integrated resource planning and include least cost options when evaluating alternatives for providing energy supply and managing energy demand. EE is evaluated in this process; however, implementation of EE has been minimal in the past. According to ACEEE, only the Omaha Public Power District historically has reported significant spending on EE. However, Omaha Public Power District, Lincoln Electric System, and Nebraska Public Power District, the state's largest utility, had plans for stepping up their EE efforts in 2009. NE Public Power District completed an IRP in 2008, in which it sets a goal to meet 41,100 MWh through EE by 2014, equating to 14% of NPPD's annual energy load growth. NPPD planned to spend \$4.7 million on energy efficiency programs in 2009. Omaha Public Power District also set new savings goals in 2009 (see 2.5.1). In addition, the Western Area Power Association (a power marketing administration within the US DOE that markets and transmits hydroelectric power to a 15-state region in central and western US) requires its customers to submit IRPs every five years, and the requirement is included in power sales contracts with long-term firm customers. Small customer plans are required of WAPA's smaller customers. Tri-State completed an IRP in 2007.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Nebraska

2010

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

In the DSM Potential Study completed for Nebraska Public Power District, EE measures were evaluated using 4 tests, with the TRC test being used by the consultant to evaluate which measures to include in a portfolio. In the DSM Potential Study completed for Tri-State, EE measures were evaluated using the TRC test.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

Nebraska Public Power District, the largest electric utility, commissioned a DSM Potential Study and Plan in 2007. Tri-State completed a potential study in 2010.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Nebraska

2010

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

Nebraska Public Power District, the state's largest utility, completed an IRP in 2008, in which it sets a goal to meet 41,100 MWh through EE by 2014, equating to 14% of NPPD's annual energy load growth. Omaha Public Power District announced in January 2009 that it has set a target to reduce the electricity used by its customers by 50 MW by 2012 through energy efficiency measures.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

The Interim 2009 Nebraska Energy Plan recommends the enactment of a Renewable Portfolio Standard.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

Nebraska 2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

EE program administration has not been established in law. All utilities in NE are publicly owned. Some utilities implemented EE programs in 2009, including Omaha Public Power District, Nebraska Public Power District, and Lincoln Energy Systems.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -a-

NATURAL GAS

STATE POLICY YEAR

Nebraska 2010

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

There is no requirement in statute regarding how often utilities must complete IRPs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Program costs are included in coop rates

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

STATE POLICY YEAR

Nebraska 2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

SourceGas proposed decoupling with their 2009 rate increase proposal (Docket NG-0060). The Docket was still ongoing at the end of 2009. In 2010 the Copmmission denied their request stating that decoupling should only be used in conjunctino with EE programs which were not present.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

CITATIONS: Nebraska

ACEEE, 2009 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117&CFTOKEN=50109276.

BCAP, "NE wins grant to adopt 30 percent above code commercial requirements," September 16, 2008, http://bcap-energy.org/node/272.

BCAP: http://bcap-energy.org/node/272

BCAP: http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/nebraska;

Columbus Telegram, NPPD Looks to Future for Energy Answers, January 1, 2009, http://www.columbustelegram.com/news/local/article_cab676e2-6fca-521d-9510-3a42d257cb17.html.

Federal Register, Vol. 60 No.203, October 20, 1995, http://www.wapa.gov/fedreg/FRNpdfs/frn1995/60FR54151.pdf

Interim Energy Plan: http://www.neo.ne.gov/comments2/PlanDraft2009.pdf

Lincoln Energy Systems, press release, http://www.les.com/your_les/news_rel_display.asp?inpt_Article=180

NE Energy Office, 2009 Interim Energy Plan, http://www.neo.ne.gov/comments2/PlanDraft2009.pdf.

NE PSC, Docket NG-0060, http://www.psc.state.ne.us/home/NPSC/natgas/orders natgas/orders natgas.html

NE Public Power District, 2008 Integrated Resource Plan, http://www.nppd.com/irp/additional_files/irp_final.pdf.

NE Revised Code 66-1060, http://uniweb.legislature.ne.gov/laws/statutes.php?statute=66-1060.

NE Revised Code, Section 66-1001, http://uniweb.legislature.ne.gov/laws/statutes.php?statute=66-1001

NE Revised Code, Section 66-1012 through 66-1019, http://nebraskalegislature.gov/laws/browse-chapters.php?chapter=66.

Nebraska Public Power District issued an IRP in 2008. http://www.nppd.com/IRP/

Nebraska Public Power District. Rate available at: http://www.nppd.com/My Account/Additional Files/rates.asp

Nebraska Revised Statute 81-1611. http://www.legislature.ne.gov/laws/statutes.php?statute=s8116011000 adopted in 2004

NPPD Annual Report 2009, http://www.nppd.com/assets/2009annualreport1.pdf

NPPD Residential Rate Tariff, http://www.nppd.com/My_Account/Additional_Files/rates/residentialservice.pdf

NPPD Smart Meters. http://www.nppd.com/timeofuse/additional_files/smart_meters.asp

NPPD, http://www.nppd.com/timeofuse/

NPPD, http://www.nppd.com/timeofuse/additional_files/pilot_program.asp

Omaha Public Power District - Schedule 464. Rate available at: http://www.oppd.com/Rates/index.htm

CITATIONS: Nebraska

Omaha Public Power District, 2009 Sustainability Report, http://www.oppd.com/prodconsump10g/groups/web/documents/webcontent/22_005766.pdf

Omaha Public Power District, Public Utility. Interconnection standards can be accessed here, http://www.oppd.com/prodconsump10g/groups/web/documents/webcontent/22_001516.pdf.

Personal communication with Tim Texel, Nebraska Power Review Board, 1/21/2011

Tri-State energy efficiency potential study: http://www.swenergy.org/news/news/documents/file/TriState-Energy-Efficiency-Potential_Study-Volume-I.pdf

Western Area Power Administration, http://www.wapa.gov/powerm/pmirp.htm

POLICY YEAR

North Dakota

2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

A Demand Side Management Rulemaking was on-going at the end of 2009. The Commission held a workshop to consider policy goals and objectives, as well as other issues, in January 2009. No further developments took place on this in 2010.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

North Dakota administrative code requires utilities to consider a full range of options and select the most practicable least cost option (NDAC 69-09-02-33). Montana-Dakota Utilities Company is required to file IRPs (RAP, 2005).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

North Dakota

2010

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

No tests are required. Utilities typically use a variety of tests, giving most weight to RIM.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

Plains Justice commissioned a potential study, titled North Dakota Energy Efficiency Potential Study, which was completed in 2009 (Synapse Energy Economics, December 2009)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Plains Justice commissioned a potential study, titled North Dakota Energy Efficiency Potential Study, which was completed in 2009 (Synapse Energy Economics, December 2009)

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Ν

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

North Dakota

2010

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

Energy efficiency is not an eligible resource to meet the RPS.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

Energy efficiency is not an eligible resource to meet the RPS.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

A Demand Side Management Rulemaking was conducted in 2009 (Docket No. PU-08-884). A workshop to consider M& V, as well as other issues, took place in January 2009. No further action was seen in 2010.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

North Dakota

2010

OUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

EE delivery structure is not established by statute nor required by the Commission, but some utilities conduct modest, voluntary EE programs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

Montana-Dakota Utilities Company files an updated IRP every two years (RAP, 2005)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

North Dakota

2010

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Costs have been recovered in rate cases on a case-by-case basis.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

In 2005, Northern States Power Co. was granted a residential decoupling mechanism (Docket No. PU 04-578, 2006).

STATE POLICY YEAR

North Dakota 2010

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Ν

NATURAL GAS

CITATIONS: North Dakota

American Gas Association, Innovative Rates, Non-Volumetric Rates and Tracking Mechanisms: Current List as of October 2010. http://www.aga.org/our-issues/RatesRegulatoryIssues/ratesregpolicy/Pages/febr2011-innovative-ratesNon-volumetric-ratesandtrackingmechanisms.aspx

Building Codes Assistance Project / Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, North Dakota page. http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/north-dakota

Database of State Incentives for Renewables and Efficiency, North Dakota Incentives/Policies for Renewables & Efficiency, September 03, 2011. http://www.dsireusa.org/incentives/index.cfm?getRE=1?re=undefined& ee=1& spv=0& st=0& srp=1& state=ND

Montana-Dakota Utilities Company Resources Group Inc, IOU. http://www.montana-dakota.com/Pages/ElectricandNaturalGasRates.aspx?state=North%20Dakota

Montana-Dakota Utilities Company, IRP, 2008. http://www.psc.state.nd.us/database/documents/08-0433/001-020.pdf

North Dakota Administrative Code, Small Power Production and Cogeneration, NDC 69-09-07. http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/ND01R.pdf

North Dakota Administrative Code, Standards of Electric Service, NDAC 69-09-02-33. http://www.legis.nd.gov/information/acdata/html/..%5Cpdf%5C69-09-02.pdf

North Dakota Department of Commerce, Office of Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency. http://www.communityservices.nd.gov/energy/

North Dakota Legislature, HB 1506, January 3, 2007. http://www.legis.nd.gov/assembly/60-2007/bill-text/HBIO0500.pdf

North Dakota Public Service Commission, Order on EISA Standards, September 29 2009. http://www.psc.nd.gov/database/documents/09-0020/015-020.pdf

North Dakota Public Service Commission, Docket PU-04-578. http://www.psc.nd.gov/database/documents/04-0578/108-010.pdf

North Dakota Public Service Commission, Docket PU-06-290, Order, PURPA Standard, August 8, 2007. not available online

North Dakota Public Service Commission, Docket PU-07-776.

http://www.psc.state.nd.us/jurisdiction/pud/electricity/orders/2008/07776-Dec31Order.pdf

North Dakota Public Service Commission, Docket PU-08-171, Order November 5, 2008.

http://www.psc.nd.gov/database/documents/08-0171/007-020.pdf

North Dakota Public Service Commission, DSM Rulemaking Docket, PU-08-884.

http://www.psc.state.nd.us/database/docket_view_list.php?s_dept=PU& s_year_case=08& s_seq_num=884& s_company_name=Public+Service+Commission& docket_viewPage=1

North Dakota Public Service Commission, Rulemaking, Docket PU-07-641.

http://www.psc.nd.gov/database/docket_view_list.php?s_dept=PU& s_year_case=07& s_seq_num=641& s_company_name=Public+Service+Commission

Northern States Power Co (Exel Energy) - Standby Service Rider.

http://www.xcelenergy.com/Minnesota/Company/About_Energy_and_Rates/Energy%20Prices%20(Rates%20and%20Tariffs)/Pages/NDEnergy_Rates.aspx

CITATIONS: North Dakota

Northern States Power Co, IOU.

http://www.xcelenergy.com/EnergyPartners/GenerationOwners/Pages/Interconnection_Guidelines_For_Parallel_Operation_of_Distribution_Connected_Customer_Owned_Generation.aspx

Orans, Ren et al, Inclining for the Climate, Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 2009.

Regulatory Assistance Project, North Dakota Electric Resource Long-range Planning Survey, 2005. http://www.raponline.org/docs/RAP NDElectricResourceLongRangePlanningSurvey 2005 09 17.pdf

Regulatory Assistance Project, North Dakota Electric Resource Long-Range Planning Survey, 2005. http://www.raponline.org/showpdf.asp?PDF_URL=%22Pubs/IRPsurvey/IRPND.pdf%22

Synapse Energy Economics, North Dakota Energy Efficiency Potential Study, December 1, 2009. http://www.synapse-energy.com/Downloads/SynapseReport.2009-12.PJ.North-Dakota-EE-Study.09-067.pdf

POLICY YEAR

Ohio

2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

Legislation passed in 2008 that included energy savings targets and peak demand reduction targets achieving a cumulative, annual energy savings in excess of 22% by 2025; see 2.5.1 (OH General Assembly SB 221; OH Revised Code 4928.66). In addition, OH requires that a resource plan be submitted with electric utilities' long-term forecast reports; see 1.2.1 (OH PUC Rules 4901:5-5).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

PUC Rules that became effective in 2009 require electric utilities serving more than fifteen thousand customers in the state (See 2.8) to prepare long-term forecast reports, which must include a resource plan (OH PUC Rules 4901:5-5).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Natural gas utilities are required to file forecast reports, and the utilities serving fifteen thousand or more customers must include a description of their energy conservation programs and policies; however, a resource plan is not required (OH PUC Rules 4901:5-7).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

PUC Rules that became effective in 2009 require electric utilities to prepare long-term forecast reports, which must include a resource plan (OH PUC Rules 4901:5-5). Resource plans are defined as plans or programs that give appropriate consideration to supply-side and demand-side resources, transmission, and distribution.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Ohio

2010

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

PUC Rules require all electric utilities to implement EE programs, and use the TRC test to evaluate programs (OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39). Utilities also must use the TRC test, at minimum, to determine the cost-effectiveness of DSM programs in the resource plans described under 1.2.1 (OH PUC Rules 4901:5-5).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y+

NATURAL GAS

A commission staff report evaluating Duke's EE electric and gas plan in 2007 states that the TRC test is generally used. The Commission later approved the plan, which included some gas measures (OH PUC, Case 06-0091-EL-UNC, Staff report of 1/12/2007; and Order of 7/11/07).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

PUC Rules that became effective in 2009 require electric utilities to conduct potential studies prior to proposing their comprehensive EE and peak demand plans (OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39). According to Wilson Gonzalez, of OH Consumer Counsel, several utilities had completed their potential studies by the end of 2009. In addition, a 2009 ACEEE report estimated electric EE potential in OH (ACEEE, 2009).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

A 2009 ACEEE report estimated the potential of aggressive EE programs to reduce consumer use of natural gas and peak electricity generated by natural gas in the Midwest region, including OH (ACEEE, 2009).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

POLICY YEAR

Ohio

2010

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

Legislation passed in 2008 establishes EE and demand reduction benchmarks for electric utilities (SB 221; OH Revised Code 4928.66; OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39). Starting in 2009, the target is energy savings of 0.3% of the total, annual average, and normalized kilowatt-hour sales of the electric distribution utility during the preceding three calendar years to customers in OH. The target is ratcheted up, reaching 1% from 2014 to 2018, and 2% from 2019 to 2025. There also are peak demand reduction targets.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Columbia Gas is required to saving 1.5% of their load over three years (Gonzalez, 2009).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-b-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

OH has an Alternative Energy Portfolio Standard, passed in 2008, which requires 25% of utilities' retail electric supply to be provided by alternative energy resources by 2025. Demand-side management and energy efficiency qualify as advanced energy resources under this standard, and can contribute toward the goal (OH Revised Code 4928.64 et seq; and OH PUC Rules 4901:1-40).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y+

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Ohio

2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

According to PUC Rules that became effective in 2009, electric utilities must include in their three-year EE and peak demand reduction program portfolio plans a description of the plan for preparing reports that document the electric utility's EM& V (OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39). A regulatory proceeding opened in 2009 to establish protocols for EM& V of EE and peak demand reduction programs, including the establishment of a Technical Reference Manual (OH PUC, Case 09-512-GE-UNC). The proceeding was on-going at the end of 2010.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

A regulatory proceeding opened in 2009 to establish protocols for EM& V of EE and peak demand reduction programs, including the establishment of a Technical Reference Manual (OH PUC, Case 09-512-GE-UNC). The proceeding was ongoing at the end of 2010.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

PUC Rules effective in 2009 require each electric utility to design and implement EE and peak demand reduction programs to meet the statutory benchmarks outlined in 2.5.1 (OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Natural gas utilities administer programs at their own initiative; but OH has not formally established this requirement by law. However, most or all gas utilities have approved EE budgets or programs.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

-a-

POLICY YEAR

Ohio

2010

OUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

Long-term forecast reports, which must include a resource plan, are required annually from electric utilities serving more than fifteen thousand customers in the state; see 1.2.1 (OH PUC Rules 4901:5-1). There are some exemptions.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

V

NATURAL GAS

Resource plans are not required.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

N

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

PUC Rules that became effective in 2009 state that when utilities file their proposed program portfolio plans, they may submit a request for recovery of the costs of the programs through an approved rate adjustment mechanism (OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39). The recovery is subject to annual reconciliation after the M& V process is complete. The cost of transmission and distribution infrastructure that reduces line losses may be recovered on the portion of the investment that is attributable to and undertaken primarily for EE or demand reduction purposes.

In addition to the recovery process, there also is a systems benefits charge that funds various EE and renewable energy projects (OH Revised Code 4928.61 and 4928.62).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

γ.

Y-

NATURAL GAS

Cost recovery mechanisms have been established in rate cases to recover the EE costs of new natural gas programs (Gonzalez, 2009).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ac

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Ohio

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

Lost revenue recovery mechanisms are determined on a case-by-case basis. Duke Energy recovers lost revenues resulting from their programs through a DSM rider. Degislation passed in 2008 authorizes the Commission to approve a revenue decoupling mechanism for an electric distribution utility if it reasonably aligns the interests of the utility and of its customers in favor of energy efficiency or energy conservation programs (OH General Assembly, SB 221; OH Revised Code 4928.66 (A)(2)(c), (D), and 4928.143 (B)(2)(h)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

In 2008, the Commission authorized Duke Energy and Dominion East Ohio to adopt a Straight Fixed Variable rate structure. In 2009, the Commission authorized Vectren to adopt a Straight Fixed Variable rate structure that sets a partial fixed / partial volumetric rate for the first year; and a full fixed rate for following year (OH PUC, Case 07-1080-GA-AIR, Order on 1/7/09).

Legislation passed in 2008 authorizes a natural gas utility to apply for Commission approval of an alternative rate plan that includes a revenue decoupling mechanism; it also adds an objective to the statutory natural gas policy to promote an alignment of natural gas company interests with consumer interests in energy efficiency and energy conservation (OH Revised Code 4929.01(A), 4929.02(A)(12), and 4929.051).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-b-

-a-

Y-

NATURAL GAS

STATE POLICY YEAR

Ohio 2010

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

Duke Energy received approval for incentives in the Save-a-watt program in 2008 (OH PUC, Case 08-920-EL-SSO). If Duke achieves 101% or more of its mandate, it receives a return on investment on program costs equal to between 6% and 15%.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

CITATIONS: Ohio

2007 - OAC 4901:1-22 accessed here: http://codes.ohio.gov/oac/4901:1-22

ACEEE, 2009 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117&CFTOKEN=50109276.

ACEEE, Examining the Potential for Energy Efficiency to Help Address the Natural Gas Crisis in the Midwest, January 2005, http://www.aceee.org/pubs/u051.htm

ACEEE, Shaping Ohio's Energy Future: Energy Efficiency Works, March 2009, http://www.aceee.org/store/proddetail.cfm?CFID=4867142&CFTOKEN=98975491&ItemID=458&CategoryID=7

BCAP, "Ohio Code Information," http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/ohio

CAIR, http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dapc/regs/regs.html#3745-109 Scroll down to OAC 3745-109

Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (Duke Energy) - Rate DP. Rate available at: http://www.duke-energy.com/rates/ohio/electric.asp

Executive Order 2007-02S

FERC, Order on PJM RPM Compliance Issues, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., October 30, 2009, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13765680

FERC, Order Regarding PJM Report and Tariff Filings, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., March 26, 2009, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13701842

O.R.C. §4928.02(M) http://codes.ohio.gov/orc/4928.02

OAC 4901:1-10-28 can be found here, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/TiffToPDf/A1001001A07C28B45049D31500.pdf

OAC 4901:1-21-13 is located here, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/TiffToPDf/A1001001A07C28B45049D31500.pdf

OH General Assembly, SB 221,

OH PUC Rules 4901:1-39, http://www.puco.ohio.gov/PUCO/Rules/Rule.cfm?id=8724

OH PUC Rules 4901:1-40, http://www.puco.ohio.gov/PUCO/Rules/Rule.cfm?id=8724

OH PUC Rules 4901:5-1, http://www.puco.ohio.gov/PUCO/Rules/Rule.cfm?id=8724

OH PUC Rules 4901:5-5, http://www.puco.ohio.gov/PUCO/Rules/Rule.cfm?id=8724

OH PUC Rules 4901:5-7, http://codes.ohio.gov/oac/4901%3A5-7

OH PUC, Case 05-1444-GA-UNC, Order on February 4, 2009, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/DocumentRecord.aspx?DocID=4e98e33f-f76b-4f6d-b72b-8f9f26033b0e

OH PUC, Case 06-0091-EL-UNC, Staff report of 1/12/2007; and Order of 7/11/07, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/CaseRecord.aspx?CaseNo=06-0091

CITATIONS: Ohio

OH PUC, Case 07-1080-GA-AIR, Order on January 7, 2009, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/DocumentRecord.aspx?DocID=def5f7ab-e174-4a78-9961-a241c93e3a3f

OH PUC, Case 08-920-EL-SSO, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/TiffToPDf/A1001001A08G31B74801D05501.pdf

OH PUC, Case 09-512-GE-UNC, Entry on June 24, 2009, http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/DocumentRecord.aspx?DocID=8ec155c8-d3ea-4d92-bb3c-e9f1ce523726

OH Revised Code 123.011

OH Revised Code 3345.69

OH Revised Code 4928.143,

OH Revised Code 4928.61 and 4928.62, http://codes.ohio.gov/orc/4928.61

OH Revised Code 4928.64 et seq, http://codes.ohio.gov/orc/4928

OH Revised Code 4928.66, http://codes.ohio.gov/orc/4928

OH Revised Code 4929.01(A),

OH Revised Code 4929.02(A)(12), http://codes.ohio.gov/orc/4929.02

OH Revised Code 4929.051,

Ohio Power Co (AEP) - Schedule OAD-SBS, Rate available at: https://www.aepohio.com/account/bills/rates/AEPOhioRatesTariffsOH.aspx

Ohio School Facilities Commission Resolution 07-124

Orans, Ren et al, "Inclining for the Climate," in Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 2009

ORC 4928.67 can be accessed here, http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/OH02R1.htm

Personal communication with Wilson Gonzalez at the Ohio Office of Consumers, 2009.

PJM, Report Proposing Changes to RPM, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., December 12, 2008, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13672172

PJM, Tariff filing to implement proposed changes to RPM, Docket Nos. ER05-1410 et. al., December 12, 2008, http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/File_list.asp?document_id=13672185

SIP Call, http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dapc/files/OhioGuidanceFINAL.pdf

The Supreme Court of Ohio & The Ohio Judicial System, Jan 26, 2010. http://www.sconet.state.oh.us/PIO/summaries/2010/0126/081837_090314.asp STATE POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma 2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

EE is equivalent to supply side resources as a result of the Integrated Resource Planning process. (OAC 165:35-37-1).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma's IRP process requires regulated utilities to file IRPs with the Oklahoma Corporation Commission every three years. The consideration of investment in EE is part of the IRP process. (OAC 165:35-37-1).

A grading of Y- was assigned to this state because of a subtle ambiguity in the Oklahoma Code. While EE is mentioned as part of the complex resource planning process, it is not specifically enumerated as a resource. Thus, some ambiguity may exist as to EE's integration. (OAC 165:35-37-1)

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION Y-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

OUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma requires regulated utilities to operate Demand Programs, which include EE as a resource. Whether a program is cost effective is judged based on tests found in the California Standard Practice Manual, which includes the TRC. (OAC 165: 35-41-5©). Programs targeted to low-income or hard-to-reach customers may have a lower threshold of cost-effectiveness. (OAC 165:35-41-4(b)(10)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

V

NATURAL GAS

Oklahoma requires regulated utilities to operate Demand Programs, which include EE as a resource. Whether a program is cost effective is judged based on tests found in the California Standard Practice Manual, which includes the TRC. (OAC 165:45-23-5(c)). Programs targeted to low-income or hard-to-reach customers may have a lower threshold of cost-effectiveness. (OAC 165:45-23-4(b)(9)).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

V

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

A study, called "Energy Efficiency in the South" was conducted by a team of researchers at the Georgia Institute of Technology and Duke University. Appendix G contained an Oklahoma specific review. The study presents primary and in-depth research of the potential for energy-efficiency improvements, using a modeling approach based on the EF-NEMS (National Energy Modeling System).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Υ

NATURAL GAS

A study, called "Energy Efficiency in the South" was conducted by a team of researchers at the Georgia Institute of Technology and Duke University. Appendix G contained an Oklahoma specific review. The study presents primary and in-depth research of the potential for energy-efficiency improvements, using a modeling approach based on the EF-NEMS (National Energy Modeling System).

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

The Commission is obligated, in the Demand Program approval proceeding, to set specific savings goals for each utility to reduce the rate of growth of peak demand, energy usage, and capacity additions. A "goal" may be expressed "in kilowatts, kilowatt-hours, percentage reduction or limitation, years that anticipated construction of utility plant is delayed, and/or another quantifiable measurement approved by the Commission." (OAC 165:35-41-2(b)). Also, see Question 2.5.3.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Υ

acd

NATURAL GAS

The Commission is obligated, in the Demand Program approval proceeding, to set specific savings goals for each utility to reduce the rate of growth of peak demand, energy usage, and capacity additions. A "goal" may be expressed "in kilowatts, kilowatt-hours, percentage reduction or limitation, years that anticipated construction of utility plant is delayed, and/or another quantifiable measurement approved by the Commission." (OAC 165:45-23-2(b).) Also, see Question 2.5.3.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma regulations require that the proposed goals be based on "market potential studies, IRPs, or other evidence." (OAC 165:35-41-2(b)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

Oklahoma regulations require that the proposed goals be based on "market potential studies, IRPs, or other evidence." (OAC 165:45-23-2(b)).

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma's Energy Security Act of 2010 defines renewable resources so as to include energy efficiency. (17 O.S. § 801.4(d)(10)). The Act sets the goal that 15% "of all installed capacity of electricity generation within the state by the year 2015 be generated from renewable energy sources." (17 O.S. § 801.4(c)). However, the statute also limits the amount of energy efficiency that may be used toward the 15% goal: "Therefore, every electricity generating entity in Oklahoma may use energy efficiency and demand side management measures to assist the state in meeting its renewable energy standard. Provided, however, that demand side management may not be used to meet more than twenty-five percent (25%) of the overall fifteen percent (15%) renewable energy standard for the state. Energy conservation measures shall be described and quantified to the Corporation Commission on March 1 annually. The Commission shall make the final determination of the amount of generation capacity the electricity generating entity conserved and determine to what degree that will count toward meeting the renewable energy standard for the state." (17 O.S. § 801.6).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Ν

Υ

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

The Oklahoma Administrative Code states, "Utilities are responsible for timely evaluation, measurement, and verification of their energy efficiency and demand response programs." (OAC 165:35-41-6(a)). Part of the required filing, submitted for approval to the Commission every three years, is an M&V Plan, which must include impact, market and process evaluations. (OAC 165:35-41-6(b)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

The Oklahoma Administrative Code states, "Utilities are responsible for timely evaluation, measurement, and verification of their energy efficiency and demand response programs." (OAC 165:45-23-6(a)). Part of the required filing, submitted for approval to the Commission every three years, is an M&V Plan, which must include impact, market and process evaluations. (OAC 165:45-23-6(b)).

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma regulations establish "all electric utilities under rate regulation of the Commission shall propose, at least once every three years, and be responsible for the administration and implementation of a demand portfolio of EE and demand response programs." (OAC 165:35-23.)

However, certain high volume electricity users, after the utility has a reasonable opportunity to present customized opportunities to such user, may opt out of some or all energy efficiency or demand response programs by submitting notice of such decision to the director of the Public Utility Division and to the electric utility that submits the demand portfolio. (OAC 165:35-41-4(b)(11)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Oklahoma regulations establish "all natural gas utilities under rate regulation of the Commission having more than 25,000 meters in the state of Oklahoma shall propose, at least once every three years, and be responsible for the administration and implementation of a demand portfolio of energy efficiency programs within their service territories" (OAC 165:45-23).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

-a-

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma regulation requires utilities to update their IRP every three years. (OAC 165:35-37-4(a)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma regulations states that utilities' recovery of costs in rates or riders will be determined by the Commission on a utility-specific basis, and that costs other than for inducement shall not exceed ten percent of program costs and all program costs shall not add more than \$1.90 to the residential sector's monthly average customer bill. Time-of-use devices and installation are not included in these caps. (OAC 165: 35-41-5(d)).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Oklahoma regulations state that a utility's recovery of prudently incurred program costs in rates or riders shall be determined by the Commission on a utility-specific basis; provided costs other than for inducement shall not exceed ten percent of program costs and all program costs shall not add more than \$1.33 to the residential sector's monthly average customer bill. (OAC 165: 45-23-5(d)).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

V

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

ELECTRIC

See 4.1.1.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ab

NATURAL GAS

See 4.1.1.

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

OUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma regulation requires utilities to include in their Demand Programs filing a detailed explanation of the utility's request for recovery of prudently incurred program costs, recoupment of lost net revenue, and additional incentives the utility proposes it requires to make the programs workable. (OAC 165:35-41-4(a)(18)). The Commission has in several filings approved a tariff rider/surcharge, a lost revenue adjustment mechanism, and included performance incentives for the utility.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Oklahoma regulation requires utilities to include in their Demand Programs filing a detailed explanation of the utility's request for recovery of prudently incurred program costs, recoupment of lost net revenue, and additional incentives the utility proposes it requires to make the programs workable. (OAC 165:45-23-4(a)(18)). The Commission has in several filings approved a tariff rider/surcharge, a lost revenue adjustment mechanism, and included performance incentives for the utility.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

See 5.1.1.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION -b-

NATURAL GAS

See 5.1.1.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

-b-

POLICY YEAR

Oklahoma

2010

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

Oklahoma regulations permit utilities to include in their Demand Programs filings additional incentives the utility proposes it requires to make the programs workable, such as shareholder incentives. (OAC 165:35-41-4(a)(18)). Oklahoma Gas & Electric was approved to implement a 2-part incentive for its DM Program. For programs with a TRC greater than 1.0, the company would claim 25% of the savings value. For programs with a TRC less than 1.0, then the company would recover 15% of the savings value. (Cause # 200800059). This model has been adopted for other utilities.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Oklahoma regulations permit utilities to include in their Demand Programs filings additional incentives the utility proposes it requires to make the programs workable, such as shareholder incentives. (OAC 165:35-41-4(a)(18)). Oklahoma Gas & Electric was approved to implement a 2-part incentive for its DM Program. For programs with a TRC greater than 1.0, the company would claim 25% of the savings value. For programs with a TRC less than 1.0, then the company would recover 15% of the savings value. (Cause #200800059). This model has been adopted for other utilities.

CITATIONS: Oklahoma

ACEEE, 2009 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117&CFTOKEN=50109276.

ACEEE, 2010 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2010, http://aceee.org/research-report/e107

Georgia Institute of Technology and Duke University, "Energy Efficiency in the South," 2010, http://www.seealliance.org/se_efficiency_study/oklahoma_efficiency_in_the_south.pdf

Institute for Electric Efficiency, Dynamic Pricing Pilots and Programs by State, December 2009: http://www.edisonfoundation.net/iee/issueBriefs/IEE_DP_Map_Residential_1209.pdf

O.A.C. § 165:40-9 can be accessed here, http://www.occ.state.ok.us/Divisions/GC/OCCRULES/permrules/Chap40.pdf.

OG&E Energy Efficiency Potential Study (2008):

http://www.occ.state.ok.us/Divisions/PUD/Collaborative/OGE_EnergyEfficiencyPotential_FinalReport%2010-02-08.pdf.

OK Administrative Code, Section 165:35-37, 2006, https://www.sos.ok.gov/oar/online/viewCode.aspx

OK Administrative Code, Section 165:35-41, 2009, https://www.sos.ok.gov/oar/online/viewCode.aspx

OK Administrative Code, Section 165-45-23, 2009, https://www.sos.ok.gov/oar/online/viewCode.aspx.

OK Corporation Commission, Cause 200600285, Order 545168, 10/9/07: http://imaging.occeweb.com/AP/Orders/0035DC7E.pdf

OK Corporation Commission, Utility IRP Filings, 2006: http://imaging.occeweb.com/imaging/PUDReport.aspx, select "Integrated Resource Planning 2006" from the Forms menu

OK Session Laws, House Bill 3394, May 22, 2008:

http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/deliverdocument.asp?citeid=451974

OK Statutes Citationized, Duty of State Agencies to Develop and Implement Energy Efficiency and Conservation Plans - Strategies, 27A O.S. 3-4-106, November 1, 2009:

http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/deliverdocument.asp?id=455255&hits=2

OK Statutes Citationized, Energy Efficiency and Emission Reduction Program , 27A O.S. 2-3-109, July 1, 2008: http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/deliverdocument.asp?id=452124&hits=2

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, 11th Electric System Planning Report, 2010, http://www.occeweb.com/pu/PUD%20Reports%20Page/11th%20ESPR-2010.pdf

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, Cause No. PUD 200900196, Application Of PSO For Approval Of ... DSM Cost Recovery Rider (Final Order #572836), 2010, http://imaging.occeweb.com/AP/Orders/02FB46D6.pdf

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, Cause No. PUD 201000029, Application Of OGE for Deployment of AMI, (Final Order #576595), 2010, http://imaging.occeweb.com/AP/Orders/02FCB9DC.pdf

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, Cause No. PUD 201000143, Application Of Oklahoma Natural Gas Co. ... Demand Portfolio, 2010, http://imaging.occeweb.com/AP/CaseFiles/02FD6A1B.pdf

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, OK Gas & Electric: Cause No. 200800059; Order 556179 http://imaging.occeweb.com/AP/Orders/OCC3770635.PDF

CITATIONS: Oklahoma

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, Tenth Electric System Planning Report, December 2008: http://occ.state.ok.us/Divisions/PUD/10th%20ESPR2008%20FINAL%20DOC.pdf

Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co - Schedules MS-1, SS-1, and BUS-1. Rate available at: http://www.oge.com/BUSINESS-CUSTOMERS/LARGE-BUSINESS-SOLUTIONS/Pages/Rates.aspx

Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co's IRP mentions CHP. http://imaging.occeweb.com/PUD/Reports/002AB66F.pdf

Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co, IOU. http://www.oge.com/business-customers/billing-and-payment/Pages/RateInfo.aspx

Oklahoma Statutes Citationized, Title 17, Section 801.1 et seq, 2010, http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/DeliverDocument.asp?CiteID=459323

Oklahoma Statutes Citationized, Title 59, Section 1000.20 et seq, 2009, http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/Index.asp?ftdb=STOKST59&level=1

Oklahoma Statutes Citationized, Title 61, Section 213, 2009, http://www.oscn.net/applications/OCISWeb/DeliverDocument.asp?CiteID=452414

Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, OK page, 2010, http://bcap-ocean.org/code-information/oklahoma-state-energy-code

Orans, Ren et al, Inclining for the Climate, Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 2009

Orans, Ren et. al., "Inclining for the Climate," in Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 2009

Personal Communication with Tonya Hinex-Ford, Oklahoma Corporation Commission. 1/19/2011

PSO Energy Efficiency Potential Study (2008):

http://www.occ.state.ok.us/Divisions/PUD/Collaborative/PSO%20Report%20Final%2010-01-08%20compatible%20version.doc.

Public Service Co of Oklahoma. Rate available at:

Public Service Co of Oklahoma's IRP. http://imaging.occeweb.com/PUD/Reports/002AB83B.pdf

Public Service Company of Oklahoma, gridSMART Owasso Pilot Project., https://www.psoklahoma.com/save/smartmeters/

Public Service Company of Oklahoma, Rates & Tariffs, RTP Schedules, https://www.psoklahoma.com/account/bills/rates/PSORatesTariffsOK.aspx

Utility-Scale Smart Meter Deployments, Plans & Proposals, February 2010: http://www.edisonfoundation.net/iee/issueBriefs/IEE SmartMeterRollouts update.pdf

POLICY YEAR

South Dakota

2010

OUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

In the Commission's December of 2009 order on EL08-028, it adopted a new standard that reads, "Each electric utility shall (A) integrate cost-effective energy efficiency resources into the plans and planning processes of the electric utility; and (B) adopt policies establishing cost-effective energy efficiency as a priority resource; and (C) file integrated resource plans that are filed with other state regulatory agencies when those plans may affect South Dakota power supply and rates; or if no integrated resource plans are required to be filed in other states, file any integrated resource plans prepared for South Dakota power supply planning processes."

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

N

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

South Dakota statute 1977 requires utilities to complete a ten year plan. However, the ten year plan does not require energy efficiency and does not include other elements of an integrated resource plan. In Docket EL08-028, the Commission updated its IRP Planning requirements to either require informational filings for plans developed by the utility in another jurisdiction that impact the SD utility, or to file an IRP. South Dakota has not been designated as having an IRP because the state only requires utilities to file an IRP if it has been done for another jurisdiction and the plan may affect South Dakota, or if the utility has filed any IRP prepared for the South Dakota power supply planning process. There do not appear to be state specific IRP requirements.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION N

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

South Dakota

2010

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

In its 5/8/08 EE Plan filing, Otter Tail evaluated its proposed programs with all five of the benefit-cost tests. The MidAmerican EE Plan relies on the Societal Test.

In it's filing for approval of Demand Side Management Programs in October 2009 NorthWestern proposed determining program viability on the TRC test. According to a state contact, Commission staff has begun placing the most emphasis on TRC with some on the RIM test.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

٧.

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

In Otter Tail's 7/28/08 EE Plan approval, the Commission agreed to the company's proposal for a financial incentive if the company achieves its energy savings goal that is set in the plan. MW and MWh goals are established for the MidAmerican Plan.

Otter Tail filed an updated 2010 and 2011 EE Plan. Otter Tail's proposed savings goals for all energy programs for 2010 was 2,114,570 kWh.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

The MidAmerican Plan includes therm savings estimates.

POLICY YEAR

South Dakota

2010

QUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-C-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

The Legislature enacted a voluntary objective in 2008 that 10% of retail electricity sales be obtained from renewable and recycled energy by 2015. Efficiency was not allowed to assist in meeting this objective in the 2008 law. In March 2009, the policy was modified, also allowing "conserved energy" to meet the objective. It went into effect on July 1, 2009.

FLECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

N

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

M& V plays a role in each of the 3 utilities' program. In its 7/28/08 approval of Otter Tail's EE Plan, the Commission required the utility to perform a yearly evaluation of the plan, but details are not prescribed. An EE Plan by Mid-American was approved as part of its filing in Docket EL07-015. Details of the plan are not prescribed. Xcel Energy did a presentation on Measurement and Verification options in South Dakota in 2010. According to a state contact, in cases where EM& V is being done is is completed by the utility administering the program. So far, the small size of the programs being implemented makes a more robust EM& V program disproportionately expensive.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

South Dakota

2010

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

EE spending is minimal in SD and the state has no requirements, but utilities voluntarily undertake some programs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-a-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

Resource plans that consider EE are not in place except for Black Hills Corporation, as noted in 1.2.1, although recent decisions favor increasing emphasis on IRP type efforts. In the Commission's December of 2009 order on EL08-028, it adopted a new standard that reads, "Each electric utility shall (A) integrate cost-effective energy efficiency resources into the plans and planning processes of the electric utility; and (B) adopt policies establishing cost-effective energy efficiency as a priority resource; and (C) file integrated resource plans that are filed with other state regulatory agencies when those plans may affect South Dakota power supply and rates; or if no integrated resource plans are required to be filed in other states, file any integrated resource plans prepared for South Dakota power supply planning processes." The Commission's decision in a review of PURPA calls favors reliance on IRP's through informational filings and potential new filing requirements. The reach and timing of this requirement is unclear.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

South Dakota

2010

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Otter Tail received approval on 7/28/08 for a cost recovery rider for its EE Plan. All customers will pay the same cost recovery charge. An EE Plan from Mid-American, in which cost-recovery was proposed to be funded with a rider, was approved in March of 2009. Previously, programs have been minimal and cost recovery has been done in rates. The Commission approved a revised cost recovery mechanism for MidAmerican in January 2010. The Commission also approved a cost recovery rider for NorthWesterns Demand Side Management Program in 2010.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Cost recovery mechanisms vary from utility to utility. MDU requested and received cost recovery for pilot conservation programs in 2005. An EE Plan from Mid-American, in which cost-recovery was established in March of 2009. The Commission also approved a cost recovery rider for NorthWesterns Demand Side Management Program in 2010.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

Υ

ELECTRIC

Current recovery is done via rates. Proposed recovery mechanisms include tariff riders.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ab

NATURAL GAS

POLICY YEAR

South Dakota

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

The Commission affirmatively rejected any requirements relating to the throughput incentives in the context of their review of PURPA provisions of the 2007 Energy Independence and Security Act. The Commission approved a lost revenue recovery mechanism for NorthWestern Energy in GE09-001.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

The Commission approved a lost revenue recovery mechanism for NorthWestern Energy in GE09-001.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

N

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

MidAmerican includes a lost margin recovery factor for its DSM programs that was approved in March of 2009.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

-b-

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

Otter Tail received approval for an incentive in the Commission's 7/28/08 approval of its EE Plan. The shared-savings incentive awards Otter Tail a share of the total net benefits if the company reaches 100% of the proposed energy savings goal. The financial incentive is capped at 30% of the utility's approved EE Plan expenditures. A similar incentive structure was approved for MidAmerican in March 2009. Incentive provisions do not include a provision for penalties for program deficiencies. An financial incentive for Otter Tail was approved in 2010, with financial incentives starting at 90% of the goal.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

NATURAL GAS

An incentive structure for MidAmerican was established in March of 2009. Incentives do not include a provision for penalties for program deficiencies.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

CITATIONS: South Dakota

Black Hills Corporation 10Yr Plan and IRP http://puc.sd.gov/commission/10yearplan/bhp2010.pdf

BCAP. http://bcap-ocean.org/code-status-residential

Black Hills Power Inc - Rate SP-3B http://www.blackhillspower.com/ratescc.htm

Black Hills Power Inc, IRP, 2007-2008. http://puc.sd.gov/commission/dockets/electric/2009/el09-018/tietjen2.pdf

CEE, The State of the Efficiency Program Industry, 2009, http://www.cee1.org/files/StateofEEIndustry2009.pdf

Documents related to Docket EL06-018 are available at http://www.state.sd.us/puc/commission/dockets/electric/2006/el06-018/el06-018.htm

Mid American, M& V filing, Docket EL07-015, 2007, http://www.state.sd.us/puc/commission/dockets/electric/2007/el07-015/d1-1.pdf

MidAmerican EE Plan, Docket EL07-015, 2007. http://puc.sd.gov/commission/dockets/electric/2007/el07-015/introduction.pdf

Northern States Power Co - Standby Service Rider:

http://www.xcelenergy.com/South%20Dakota/Company/About_Energy_and_Rates/Energy%20Prices%20%28Rates%20and %20Tariffs%29/Pages/SDEnergy_Rates.aspx

NorthWestern Demand Side Management Application, GE 09-001, October 1, 2009. http://puc.sd.gov/commission/dockets/gas& electric/2009/ge09-001/100109.pdf

Otter Tail, Appendix A, EE Plan Savings Numbers, E10 007, June 18, 2010, http://puc.sd.gov/commission/dockets/electric/2010/el10-007/061810a.pdf

Personal communication with Brian Rounds, SD PUC on 1/21/2011

S.D. Administrative Code, § 20:10:36: http://legis.state.sd.us/rules/DisplayRule.aspx?Rule=20:10:36

SD Code, 49-46-3: http://legis.state.sd.us/statutes/DisplayStatute.aspx?Type=Statute& Statute=49-46-3

SD Energy Smart Initiative. http://www.sdenergysmart.com/Partners.aspx

SD Legislature, HB 1123; SB 57, 2009, http://legis.state.sd.us/sessions/2009/Bill.aspx?File=SB57ENR.htm

SD Legislature, SB 188, 2008: http://legis.state.sd.us/sessions/2008/Bills/SB188ENR.pdf

SD Legislature, SB 202, 2009. http://legis.state.sd.us/sessions/2009/Bills/SB202P.pdf

SD Legislature, Statute 49-41B-3. http://legis.state.sd.us/statutes/DisplayStatute.aspx?Type=Statute& Statute=49-41B-3

SD PUC, Docket NG07-012, Order, 2007, http://www.state.sd.us/puc/commission/dockets/naturalgas/2007/ng07-012/042007.pdf

SD PUC, Order (Time of Delivery rates), Docket EL07-001, 2007: http://www.state.sd.us/puc/commission/orders/electric/2007/el07-001OATR.pdf.

CITATIONS: South Dakota

SD PUC, Order, Docket EL08-028, 12/2009. http://puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/electric/2009/el08-028C.pdf

SD PUC, Order, Docket NG05-016, 2005. http://www.state.sd.us/puc/commission/dockets/naturalgas/2005/NG05-016/NG05-016.htm

SD PUC, Order, NorthWestern DSM Plan, 09 001, May 4, 2010, http://puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/gas&electric/2010/ge09-001.pdf

SD PUC, Otter Tail EE Pilot Order, Docket EL07-011, 7/28/08: http://puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/electric/2008/el07-011.pdf

SD PUC, Revised EE Plan, 5/8/08: http://puc.sd.gov/commission/dockets/electric/2007/el07-011/050808.pdf

South Dakota PUC, Order, MidAmerican EE Plan, January 19, 2010, http://puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/electric/2010/el07-015.pdf

South Dakota PUC, Order, Otter Tail EE Plan, March 3, 2010, http://puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/electric/2010/el09-022.pdf

Xcel Energy, DSM Measurement & Verification presentation, June 23, 2010, http://puc.sd.gov/commission/Presentations/EEworkshop/peterson.pdf

STATE POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin 2010

QUESTION 1.1

EE is established as a high priority resource, equivalent or superior to supply resources

ELECTRIC

Statute §1.12(4), commonly referred to as the Energy Priorities Law, requires that energy conservation and efficiency be considered as the highest priority resource in meeting energy demands, to the extent cost-effective and technically feasible. Further, §1.12(5)(a) states that in designing all new and replacement energy projects, a state agency or local governmental unit shall rely to the greatest extent feasible on energy efficiency improvements and renewable energy resources, if [they] are cost-effective and technically feasible and do not have unacceptable environmental impacts. However, §196.374(2)(a)3. states that the PSC may not require an energy utility to administer or fund any energy efficiency program that is in addition to statutorily mandated efficiency programs. Pursuant to §196.025(1)(ar), whenever the PSC makes an energy-related decision, it must implement the energy priorities law. So, for example, when the PSC reviews an application for pre-construction approval of a new supply resource, it will review whether it is technically feasible and cost-effective to meet the need through energy efficiency. If so, the PSC can deny the application for the supply resource but it cannot order the applicant to undertake additional efficiency measures.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ+

NATURAL GAS

Statute §1.12(4), commonly referred to as the Energy Priorities Law, requires that energy conservation and efficiency be considered as the highest priority resource in meeting energy demands, to the extent cost-effective and technically feasible. Further, §1.12(5)(a) states that in designing all new and replacement energy projects, a state agency or local governmental unit shall rely to the greatest extent feasible on energy efficiency improvements and renewable energy resources, if [they] are cost-effective and technically feasible and do not have unacceptable environmental impacts. However, §196.374(2)(a)3. states that the PSC may not require an energy utility to administer or fund any energy efficiency program that is in addition to statutorily mandated efficiency programs. Pursuant to §196.025(1)(ar), whenever the PSC makes an energy-related decision, it must implement the energy priorities law. So, for example, when the PSC reviews an application for pre-construction approval of a new supply resource, it will review whether it is technically feasible and cost-effective to meet the need through energy efficiency. If so, the PSC can deny the application for the supply resource but it cannot order the applicant to undertake additional efficiency measures.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y+

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

QUESTION 1.2.1

EE is integrated into an active IRP, portfolio management, or other planning process

ELECTRIC

The PSC completed its first quadrennial energy efficiency planning docket (05-GF-191, Reference # 141173) in 2010. The results of the efficiency planning process will be incorporated into the biennial Strategic Energy Assessments (SEA) prepared by the PSC. The SEA is considered by RAP to be akin to an IRP-like process, but has some differences. First, the SEA is written by PSC staff from a statewide perspective, rather than by individual utilities. Utilities provide data input. Second, the SEA evaluates the adequacy of existing and planned resources to meet future needs but does not seek to identify a least cost resource plan.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

The PSC completed its first quadrennial energy efficiency planning docket (05-GF-191, Reference # 141173) in 2010. The results of the efficiency planning process will be incorporated into the biennial Strategic Energy Assessments (SEA) prepared by the PSC. The SEA is considered by RAP to be akin to an IRP-like process, but has some differences. First, the SEA is written by PSC staff from a statewide perspective, rather than by individual utilities. Utilities provide data input. Second, the SEA evaluates the adequacy of existing and planned resources to meet future needs but does not seek to identify a least cost resource plan.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 1.3

EE is an alternative to transmission based on a long-term transparent IRP or transmission system plan

ELECTRIC

EE is an alternative to transmission because the Energy Priorities Law described in answer 1.1 above applies to all energy decisions, including transmission decisions. The Strategic Energy Assessment described in answer 1.2.1 above considers the adequacy of existing and planned transmission to meet future statewide energy needs, but does not evaluate whether transmission system plans are least cost.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

N/A

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

QUESTION 2.2

The TRC or Societal Cost Test is used to evaluate EE programs

ELECTRIC

In a memorandum during the quadrennial planning process, the Commission defined a modified TRC and expanded TRC. A modified TRC is used to determine the effectiveness of energy efficiency measures and portfolios of programs. The modified TRC includes additional benefits due to costs avoided as a result of the programs, including the value of avoided emissions for which active offset markets currently exist (Sox, Nox, and CO2). An Expanded Net Economic Test is used at the portfolio level. The costs included in the Expanded test are the same as the modified TRC test, but also include non-economic externalities, such as mercury, and economic non-energy benefits and costs, such as water savings and improved productivity. (Docket No. 5-GF-191, Memorandum dated May 21, 2010, Ref # 131951). The final order in the quadrennial planning process embraced the above uses of the modified and expanded cost tests, and additionally required that programs must pass the Utility/Administrator test. (Docket No. 5-GF-191, Order, November 10, 2010, Ref # 141173). RAP does not consider the modified TRC test to be a true TRC test. The Expanded test is considered a TRC test.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

In a memorandum during the quadrennial planning process, the Commission defined a modified TRC and expanded TRC. A modified TRC is used to determine the effectiveness of energy efficiency measures and portfolios of programs. The modified TRC includes additional benefits due to costs avoided as a result of the programs, including the value of avoided emissions for which active offset markets currently exist (Sox, Nox, and CO2). An Expanded Net Economic Test is used at the portfolio level. The costs included in the Expanded test are the same as the modified TRC test, but also include non-economic externalities, such as mercury, and economic non-energy benefits and costs, such as water savings and improved productivity. (Docket No. 5-GF-191, Memorandum dated May 21, 2010, Ref # 131951). The final order in the quadrennial planning process embraced the above uses of the modified and expanded cost tests, and additionally required that programs must pass the Utility/Administrator test. (Docket No. 5-GF-191, Order, November 10, 2010, Ref # 141173). RAP does not consider the modified TRC test to be a true TRC test. The Expanded test is considered a TRC test.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Y-

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

OUESTION 2.3.1

Potential for cost-effective EE has been established through a potential study

ELECTRIC

A potential study was completed in Fall 2005. WI was also included in a ACEEE study of the potential of aggressive EE programs to reduce consumer use of natural gas and peak electricity generated by natural gas. At least every 4 years, the PSC must evaluate statutorily mandated energy efficiency programs and set or revise goals, priorities, and measurable targets for the programs. The Energy Center of Wisconsin was hired to conduct an energy efficiency and customer sited renewable energy potential study in 2008 as part of this quadrennial review in Docket 5-UI-115. The quadrennial review, based on the results of the potential study, was completed in 2010.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

A potential study was completed in Fall 2005. WI was also included in a ACEEE study of the potential of aggressive EE programs to reduce consumer use of natural gas and peak electricity generated by natural gas. At least every 4 years, the PSC must evaluate statutorily mandated energy efficiency programs and set or revise goals, priorities, and measurable targets for the programs. The Energy Center of Wisconsin was hired to conduct an energy efficiency and customer sited renewable energy potential study in 2008 as part of this quadrennial review in Docket 5-UI-115. The quadrennial review, based on the results of the potential study, was completed in 2010.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

QUESTION 2.5.1

Quantitative MW and MWh savings goals have been established and are producing incremental investment.

ELECTRIC

Act 141, passed in 2006, requires the Commission to review and establish goals, priorities, and measurable targets every four years. An Order issued by the PSC in November 2010 set annual targets for electricity and natural gas reductions for the first 4-year planning period. The electric energy and demand goals, as a percent of peak load and electric sales, amount to 0.75% in 2011, ramping up to 1.5% in 2014.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Act 141, passed in 2006, requires the Commission to review and establish goals, priorities, and measurable targets every four years. An Order issued by the PSC in November 2010 set annual targets for electricity and natural gas reductions for the first 4-year planning period. The PSC approved natural gas goals of 0.5% in 2011, ramping up to 1% in 2013.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

OUESTION 2.5.2

Goals are established: (a) connection with IRP or other planning process; (b) as part of an EEPS or similar system; (c) as part of program approval and budget-setting process; (d) other

ELECTRIC

Goals are established through a quadrennial planning process, based on a variety of factors including mandatory program funding levels, results of potential studies, and previous years' performance.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

ac

NATURAL GAS

Goals are established through a quadrennial planning process, based on a variety of factors including mandatory program funding levels, results of potential studies, and previous years' performance.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

ac

QUESTION 2.5.3

Energy Efficiency can be used to fulfill requirements of an RPS or similar renewable standard

ELECTRIC

Legislation was introducted (SB 273) in 2010 to allow an electric provider to create an RPS credit based on the use of specified non-electric-generating resources if the use of the resource displaces the use of electricity derived from conventional resources, and is verifiable and measurable. Some of these non-electric-generating resources are arguably EE measures.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Ν

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION N

STATE POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin 2010

QUESTION 2.6.1

A robust M&V process has been established

ELECTRIC

PSC 137.04 states that an independent third party, contracted by the commission, shall conduct all market assessment and evaluation activities necessary to measure the impact and cost-effectiveness of all statewide programs. Programs enacted by the utilities under PSC 137.07, beyond the requirements of the statewide programs, are required to be independently evaluated. PSC 137.07(3)(c)(3) states that the commission shall contract with the independent third-party evaluator, unless it determines that it is reasonable to allow the energy utility to contract with the evaluator. In that case the commission shall oversee the contracting process and approve the energy utility's selection of the independent third-party evaluator. The energy utility shall pay for the evaluation of the program, as determined by the commission, from retained utility revenues that the energy utility would otherwise have expended on statewide energy efficiency programs. Focus on Energy programs are also reviewed by an independent contractor, and the evaluation reports may be viewed at the Focus on Energy website listed below. Act 141, passed in 2006, requires the development of a more consistent and robust M& V protocol statewide through it's Quadrennial Planning Process. In a Final Order in Phase One and Two of the Quadrennial Planning process, the Commission established an Evaluation Work Group to advise on specific measurement and evaluations issues, including developing new guidelines (Docket 5-GF-191, November 10, 2010).

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

PSC 137.04 states that an independent third party, contracted by the commission, shall conduct all market assessment and evaluation activities necessary to measure the impact and cost–effectiveness of all statewide programs. Programs enacted by the utilities under PSC 137.07, beyond the requirements of the statewide programs, are required to be independently evaluated. PSC 137.07(3)(c)(3) states that the commission shall contract with the independent third–party evaluator, unless it determines that it is reasonable to allow the energy utility to contract with the evaluator. In that case the commission shall oversee the contracting process and approve the energy utility's selection of the independent third–party evaluator. The energy utility shall pay for the evaluation of the program, as determined by the commission, from retained utility revenues that the energy utility would otherwise have expended on statewide energy efficiency programs. Focus on Energy programs are also reviewed by an independent contractor, and the evaluation reports may be viewed at the Focus on Energy website listed below. Act 141, passed in 2006, requires the development of a more consistent and robust M& V protocol statewide through it's Quadrennial Planning Process. In a Final Order in Phase One and Two of the Quadrennial Planning process, the Commission established an Evaluation Work Group to advise on specific measurement and evaluations issues, including developing new guidelines (Docket 5-GF-191, November 10, 2010).

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

QUESTION 2.7.1

EE delivery structure has been established

ELECTRIC

Prior to 2000, program administration was done by utilities. From 2000 through June 2007, third-party administrations of statewide prPrior to 2000, program administration was done by utilities. From 2000 through June 2007, third-party administrations of statewide programs were funded separately by utilities and overseen by the Department of Administration. Act 141, passed in 2006, requires investor-owned utilities to collectively fund a third-party efficiency administrator, under the regulation of the Public Service Commission, effective 7/07. The energy efficiency, renewable energy, and R& D efforts are called the Focus on Energy imitative. The Commission has day-to-day oversight of these programs. Utilities may also develop and offer voluntary efficiency programs, as approved by the Commission. Municipal utilities and energy cooperatives may opt in to the Focus on Energy program, as most have, or administer their own programs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Prior to 2000, program administration was done by utilities. From 2000 through June 2007, third-party administrations of statewide programs were funded separately by utilities and overseen by the Department of Administration. Act 141, passed in 2006, requires investor-owned utilities to collectively fund a third-party efficiency administrator, under the regulation of the Public Service Commission, effective 7/07. The energy efficiency, renewable energy, and R& D efforts are called the Focus on Energy imitative. The Commission has day-to-day oversight of these programs. Utilities may also develop and offer voluntary efficiency programs, as approved by the Commission. Municipal utilities and energy cooperatives may opt in to the Focus on Energy program, as most have, or administer their own programs.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

OUESTION 2.7.2

Delivery is via (a) utility administration; (b) third-party administration; or © government agency

ELECTRIC

Most Wisconsin utilities contract with the Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation (WECC), which administers the residential, business and renewable energy programs. The Energy Center of Wisconsin administers the Environmental and Economic R& D program. Collectively, the energy efficiency, renewable energy and research components comprise the Focus on Energy initiative. FOE provides information, financial assistance, technical assistance and other services to residents, businesses, schools, institutions and local governments. A small number of municipal utilities and energy cooperatives administer their own programs.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

ab

NATURAL GAS

Most Wisconsin utilities contract with the Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation (WECC), which administers the residential, business and renewable energy programs. The Energy Center of Wisconsin administers the Environmental and Economic R& D program. Collectively, the energy efficiency, renewable energy and research components comprise the Focus on Energy initiative. FOE provides information, financial assistance, technical assistance and other services to residents, businesses, schools, institutions and local governments. A small number of municipal utilities and energy cooperatives administer their own programs.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

ab

QUESTION 2.8

Resource plans are regularly updated

ELECTRIC

The results of the quadrennial efficiency planning processes are incorporated into biennial Strategic Energy Assessments (SEA) prepared by the PSC. A final Strategic Energy Assessment was issued in April 2009.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

The results of the quadrennial efficiency planning processes are incorporated into biennial Strategic Energy Assessments (SEA) prepared by the PSC. A final Strategic Energy Assessment was issued in April 2009.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION Y

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

QUESTION 4.1.1

Cost recovery process exists

ELECTRIC

Pursuant to 196.374(5)(a), the PSC shall ensure in rate-making orders that investor-owned utilities recover from ratepayers the amounts spent on statutorily mandated programs. In addition, utilities may implement their own voluntary programs and recover costs in rates via a conservation escrow account. Large energy customers may fund EE projects and, with PSC approval, may deduct the cost from the amount the customer is required to pay the utility for cost recovery; the utility deducts the amount from the amount required to spend on programs. Municipal utilities and energy cooperatives recover costs are required pursuant to 196.374(7)(a)1. to recover costs through a monthly customer charge.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ

NATURAL GAS

Pursuant to 196.374(5)(a), the PSC shall ensure in rate-making orders that investor-owned utilities recover from ratepayers the amounts spent on statutorily mandated programs. In addition, utilities may implement their own voluntary programs and recover costs in rates via a conservation escrow account. Large energy customers may fund EE projects and, with PSC approval, may deduct the cost from the amount the customer is required to pay the utility for cost recovery; the utility deducts the amount from the amount required to spend on programs. Municipal utilities and energy cooperatives recover costs are required pursuant to 196.374(7)(a)1. to recover costs through a monthly customer charge.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

QUESTION 4.1.2

Recovery occurs via: (a) rider; (b) regular rate case; or © system benefits charge

Υ

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION bc

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION bc

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

QUESTION 5.1.1

Utility throughput incentive is addressed and disincentives are removed

ELECTRIC

All IOUs and most customer-owned utilities contract with a third party efficiency administrator. Docket 05-UI-114, initiated in 2008 and ongoing throughout 2009, will examine ratemaking approaches that promote EE, including removing disincentives and providing incentives. Decoupling was approved for WI Public Service in December 2008 (called a Revenue Stabilization Mechanism), which allows the utility to undertake a four-year pilot program. The pilot will occur in three communities, and will be regularly reviewed; true-ups will occur annually and over- or undercollection is capped at about \$14 million (\$12 million for electric operations, and \$2 million for natural gas operations). WI Power and Light has discontinued it's decoupling proposal.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

NATURAL GAS

All IOUs and most customer-owned utilities contract with a third party efficiency administrator. Docket 05-UI-114, initiated in 2008 and ongoing throughout 2009, will examine ratemaking approaches that promote EE, including removing disincentives and providing incentives. Decoupling was approved for WI Public Service in December 2008 (called a Revenue Stabilization Mechanism), which allows the utility to undertake a four-year pilot program. The pilot will occur in three communities, and will be regularly reviewed; true-ups will occur annually and over- or undercollection is capped at about \$14 million (\$12 million for electric operations, and \$2 million for natural gas operations). WI Power and Light has discontinued it's decoupling proposal.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

٧-

QUESTION 5.1.2

Method used is: (a) decoupling; (b) lost revenue recovery; or (c) non-utility implementaion of EE

ELECTRIC

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION ac

NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION ac

Page 121 of 124

POLICY YEAR

Wisconsin

2010

QUESTION 5.2.1

Utility/shareholder EE incentives are provided

ELECTRIC

A Final Order in Docket No. 6680-UR-114 on October 8, 2008 allows Wisconsin Power & Light (Alliant Energy) to earn the same rate-of-return on its investments in energy efficiency made through its shared savings program for commercial and industrial customers as it earns on other capital investments. Additionally, utilities may propose incentives as part of their rate cases.

In the Final Order of the first quadrennial planning process, the Commission determined that a performance bonus mechanism should continue to emphasize energy savings in the energy efficiency programs. The Commission stated that a bonus based on 75 percent energy savings (kWh and therms) and 25 percent on demand, or a contract whose demand goals are reduced by 25 percent, provides the appropriate emphasis on energy savings.

ELECTRIC RECOMMENDATION

Y-

NATURAL GAS

A Final Order in Docket No. 6680-UR-114 on October 8, 2008 allows Wisconsin Power & Light (Alliant Energy) to earn the same rate-of-return on its investments in energy efficiency made through its shared savings program for commercial and industrial customers as it earns on other capital investments. Additionally, utilities may propose incentives as part of their rate cases.

In the Final Order of the first quadrennial planning process, the Commission determined that a performance bonus mechanism should continue to emphasize energy savings in the energy efficiency programs. The Commission stated that a bonus based on 75 percent energy savings (kWh and therms) and 25 percent on demand, or a contract whose demand goals are redacted by 25 percent, provides the appropriate emphasis on energy savings.

NATURAL GAS RECOMMENDATION

Υ-

CITATIONS: Wisconsin

ACEEE, 2009 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2009, http://aceee.org/pubs/e097.pdf?CFID=571117&CFTOKEN=50109276.

Building Codes Assistance Project / Online Code Environment & Advocacy Network, WI page: http://bcap-ocean.org/state-country/wisconsin

Energy Center of Wisconsin, Potential Study Final Report, August 2009: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/erf_share/view/viewdoc.aspx?docid=118226

Energy Center of Wisconsin, Potential Study, 2005: http://energytaskforce.wi.gov/docview.asp?docid=155

Executive Order #145, Energy Conservation for State Buildings, April 11, 2006: http://www.wisgov.state.wi.us/journal media detail.asp?locid=19&prid=1907

Focus on Energy, Annual Report, 2007:

http://www.focusonenergy.com/files/Document_Management_System/DOA/focusonenergy_annualreport07.pdf

Governor's Task Force on Global Warming, Final Report, July 2008: http://dnr.wi.gov/environmentprotect/gtfgw/documents/Final Report.pdf

Martin Kushler, Dan York, and Patti Wittehttp, ACEEE, Potential Study, January 2005: http://aceee.org/pubs/u051full.pdf?CFID=4892327&CFTOKEN=35620476

O: ACEEE, 2010 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard, October 2010, http://www.aceee.org/node/820.

Personal communication with Carol Stemrich, WI PSC, January 21, 2011

PSC rules - Chapter PSC 119: http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/WI11Rb.pdf

Utility tariff information can be accessed from here, http://psc.wi.gov/apps/tariffs/content/elelist.aspx

WI Admin. Code §137.01 et seq, 2007: http://www.legis.state.wi.us/rsb/code/psc/psc137.pdf

WI Legislature, Senate Bill 273, 2010: http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/2009/related/acts/406.pdf

WI PSC, AMI Docket, Docket No. 05-UI-116: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/cms_docket/content/DcktDetl.asp?list=docs

WI PSC, Docket 05-ES-104 and Docket 05-UI-115 (includes quadrennial planning) documents accessed from: http://psc.wi.gov/index.htm.

WI PSC, Docket 05-UI-113, Memorandum, December 30, 2008: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/cms_docket/content/DcktDetl.asp?list=docs

WI PSC, Docket 05-UI-115 documents: http://psc.wi.gov/index.htm

WI PSC, Docket 5-GF-191, Memorandum, Reference # 131951, May 21, 2010, http://psc.wi.gov/

WI PSC, Docket 5-GF-191, Order, Reference # 141173, November 10,2010, http://psc.wi.gov/.

WI PSC, Final Order, Docket No. 6680-UR-114, October 8, 2008: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/cms_docket/content/DcktDetl.asp?list=docs

CITATIONS: Wisconsin

WI PSC, Ratemaking Docket, Docket 05-UI-114: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/cms_docket/content/DcktDetl.asp?list=docs

WI PSC, WPL Decoupling Order, Docket No. 6680-UR-116, December 30, 2008: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/cms_docket/content/DcktDetl.asp?list=docs

WI PSC, WPSC Decoupling Order, Docket No. 6690-UR-119, December 30, 2008: http://psc.wi.gov/apps/cms_docket/content/DcktDetl.asp?list=docs

Wis. Stat. Ann., Act 141, 2006: http://www.legis.state.wi.us/2005/data/acts/05Act141.pdf

Wis. Stat. Ann., Statute 1.12, 2005: http://www.legis.state.wi.us/statutes/Stat0001.pdf

Wis. Stat. Ann., Statute 196 et seq, 2007: http://www.legis.state.wi.us/statutes/Stat0196.pdf

Wisconsin Electric Power CO (We Energies) News release. http://wecnews.wisconsinenergy.com/news/newsrel/pages/newsrelease 107

Wisconsin Electric Power Co (We Energies): http://www.we-energies.com/business_new/elec/elecrateswi.htm

Wisconsin Public Service Corp - Small C&I Service TOU. Rate available at: http://www.wisconsinpublicservice.com/company/wi_tariffs.aspx